

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

MONDAY, THE 6TH DAY OF MARCH 2017/15TH PHALGUNA, 1938

Con.Case(C) .No. 1005 of 2016 (S) IN WP(C) .8311/2015

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 8311/2015 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED
17-03-2015

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

P T YOHANNAN,
AGED 51 YEARS, S/O. SAKARIA THOMAS,
PUTHENPARAMBIL HOUSE, CHINGAVANAM,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT - 686 531.

BY ADVS.SRI.T.M.RAMAN KARTHA
SMT.SYAMA MOHAN

RESPONDENT(S)/3RD RESPONDENT:

B. K. REDDY, AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE
PETITIONER, CHIEF ENGINEER(CONSTRUCTION),
SOUTHERN RAILWAY, ERNAKULAM - 682016.

BY ADV. SRI.JAMES KURIAN, SC, RAILWAYS
SRI.C.S.DIAS,SC, RAILWAYS

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 06-03-2017, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

COC NO. 1005 OF 2016

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:

ANNEXURE 1 : CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 17.03.2015
IN W.P. (C) NO. 8311/2015

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON J.

~~~~~

Cont. Case (C) No. 1005 of 2016

against

W.P.(C) No. 8311 of 2015

~~~~~

Dated, this the 6th day of March, 2017

JUDGMENT

The learned counsel for the respondent Railways submits that the direction given by this Court as per Annexure A1 judgment dated 17.03.2015 has been complied with and the position has been communicated to the petitioner as well. The learned counsel also points out that the delay in finalizing the proceedings was more because of the mistake committed by the petitioner, in so far as the address of the Chief Engineer was shown as at 'Kayamkulam', instead of 'Ernakulam'. The said mistake was realized and the petitioner got it corrected only much later, as per order dated 21.01.2016 in I.A. No.16600 of 2015. It is pointed out that certified copy of the verdict passed in the writ petition was initially forwarded to the Chief Engineer 'Kayamkulam' and after getting the same corrected, a copy of the same was forwarded to the competent authority. It is stated that immediately on receiving the verdict, steps have been taken to comply with the verdict and that the matter stands finalized.

Cont. Case (C) No. 1461 of 2016
against *W.P.(C) No. 1780 of 2015*

: 2 :

In the said circumstances, nothing further remains to be considered in this petition and it stands closed accordingly.

sd/-
P. R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE

kmd

/True copy/

P.A. to Judge