

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF MARCH 2022 / 20TH PHALGUNA, 1943

CON.CASE(C) NO. 169 OF 2022

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 17317/2021 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA

PETITIONER:

MARIYAMMA VARGHESE

AGED 67 YEARS

W/O.KURIAN VARGHESE, RESIDING AT VENGAL MEDAYIL,
NEDUMPRAM PANCHAYATH, NEDUMPRAM VILLAGE, PODIYADI P.O.,
THIRUVALLA - 689 110.

BY ADVS.

V.RAMKUMAR NAMBIAR

APOORVA RAMKUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

VISHNU RAJ

FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, AGED ABOUT
45 YEARS, THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, P.O.FORT
KOCHI, ERNAKULAM - 682 001.

SMT.K.AMMINIKUTTY SR.GP

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 11.03.2022, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

This Contempt of Court case has been filed with the allegation that, in spite of the specific directions of this Court in the judgment dated 27/08/2021, the respondent has not yet completed proceedings for fixing the Fair Value of the properties involved.

2. The afore submissions of Sri.V.Ramkumar Nambiar - learned counsel for the petitioner, were controverted by the learned Senior Government Pleader - Smt.K.Aminikutty, saying that, pending this contempt case, the Fair Value of the properties involved has been already determined and that steps for its publication, under the provisions of Section 28 of the Kerala Stamp Act, is underway. She submitted that this will be concluded without any avoidable delay.

3. On hearing the learned Senior Government Pleader as afore, Sri.V.Ramkumar Nambiar submitted that if orders have already been issued determining

the Fair Value, then this Court may direct its publication within a time frame.

4. Even though I do not propose to fix a time frame for such purpose, the fact remains that it is the obligation of the respondent to publish the determined Fair Value as per law.

I, therefore, close this contempt case, recording the afore submissions of the learned Senior Government Pleader; with an adscititious direction to the respondent to ensure that publication of the determined Fair Value is done as expeditiously as is possible, without any avoidable delay.

Needless to say, the statutory liberty of the petitioner to prefer Appeals against the determined Fair Value is also reserved; for which purpose, all contentions are left open.

Sd/-

DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN
JUDGE

MC/11.3

APPENDIX OF CON.CASE (C) 169/2022

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A1	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THIS HON'BLE COURT IN WP(C) NO.17317/2021 DATED 27/08/2021.
Annexure A2	TRUE COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT DATED 16/9/2021.
Annexure A3	TRUE COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT CARD SIGNED BY THE OFFICE OF THE RESPONDENT ON 17/9/2021.