

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 12<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JANUARY 2022 / 22ND POUSHA, 1943

RP NO. 19 OF 2022

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 13516/2020 OF HIGH COURT OF  
KERALA

**REVIEW PETITIONER:**

M/S. C.J.BUILDWARE TILES AND SANITARY,  
SHOP NO. BPW-XIV-319 A, VELLARIKUNDU, KASARAGOD  
DISTRICT, REPRESENTED BY THE MANAGING PARTNER-ROBIN  
SEBASTIAN, AGED 33 YEARS.

BY ADVS.  
R.SUDHISH  
M.MANJU

**RESPONDENTS:**

- 1 THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,  
KUDLU, KASARAGOD-671124.
- 2 THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER,  
VALLARIKUNDU POLICE STATION, KASARAGOD-671533.
- 3 SAMYUKTHA CHUMATTU THOZHILALI UNION,  
REPRESENTED BY THE CONVENER, OFFICE OF THE SAMYUKTHA  
CHUMATTU THOZHILALI UNION, V.K.TOWER, VELLARIKUNDU,  
VELLARIKUNDU POST, KASARGOD-671533.
- 4 SREEJESH,  
HEAD LOAD WORKER, PATHRAVALAPPIL, DARKAS.P.O.,  
KASARGOD-671533.
- 5 KUTTAYI,  
HEAD LOAD WORKER, OFFICE OF THE SAMYUKTHA CHUMATTU  
THOZHILALI UNION, V.K.TOWER, VELLARIKUNDU, VELLARIKUNDU  
POST, KASARGOD-671533.
- 6 VINOD,  
HEAD LOAD WORKER, VATHAKODATH, VELLARIKUNDU, KASARGOD-  
671533.
- 7 BENNY V.A.,

HEAD LOAD WORKER, OFFICE OF THE SAMYUKTHA CHUMATTU  
THOZHILALI UNION, V.K.TOWER, VELLARIKUNDU,  
VELLARIKUNDU POST, KASARGOD-671533.

8      JOHNSON,  
HEAD LOAD WORKER, OFFICE OF THE SAMYUKTHA CHUMATTU  
THOZHILALI UNION, V.K.TOWER, VELLARIKUNDU,  
VELLARIKUNDU POST, KASARGOD-671534.

9      MATHU,  
HEAD LOAD WORKER, OFFICE OF THE SAMYUKTHA CHUMATTU  
THOZHILALI UNION, V.K.TOWER, VELLARIKUNDU,  
VELLARIKUNDU POST, KASARGOD-671534.

10     KUTTACHAN,  
HEAD LOAD WORKER, OFFICE OF THE SAMYUKTHA CHUMATTU  
THOZHILALI UNION, V.K.TOWER, VELLARIKUNDU,  
VELLARIKUNDU POST, KASARGOD-671534.

**OTHER PRESENT:**

SMT. MABLE .C .KURIAN SR.G.P

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
12.01.2022, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:

## **ORDER**

This petition, seeking review of the judgment dated 9<sup>th</sup> December, 2021 has been filed by the petitioner solely saying that he has additional registered workers at this time, than what has been recorded therein.

2. I do not understand why a review of this kind should have been impelled by the petitioner because the direction of this Court to the police Authorities was that adequate protection shall be given to them and their registered workers. If they have additional registered workers or if the numbers come down in future, the protection will be limited to such workers and no one else.

3. To paraphrase, if the petitioner has additional registered workers at this time, such persons shall also

be given protection.

With the afore clarification, this Review Petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-  
DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN  
JUDGE**

ANB

**APPENDIX OF RP 19/2022**

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A1	COPY OF THE IDENTITY CARD ISSUED TO RAJAL PANDAY UNDER RULE 26 A (3) DATED 21/07/2020 BY THE ASSISTANT LABOUR OFFICER, KANHANGAD.
Annexure A2	COPY OF THE IDENTITY CARD ISSUED TO PRIYESH MON P.C. UNDER RULE 26A (3) DATED 21/07/2020 BY THE ASSISTANT LABOUR OFFICER, KANHANGAD.
Annexure A3	COPY OF THE IDENTITY CARD ISSUED BY THE ASSISTANT LABOUR OFFICER, KANHANGAD DATED 24/08/2020 TO MAHAJAN.
Annexure A4	COPY OF THE IDENTITY CARD ISSUED BY THE ASSISTANT LABOUR OFFICER, KANHANGAD DATED 24/08/2020 TO ARIF ALI.