IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA KALABURAGI BENCH, KALABURAGI

1

DATED THIS THE 1ST DAY OF APRIL, 2016,

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.K. PATIL

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. SATYANARAYANA

REVIEW PETITION No. 200109 OF 2014

Between:

Meera Rachappa Alur, Aged about 39 years, Occ: Assistant Commissioner, R/o. H. NO.1-1166/3D, "SHANTA", Aiwan-E- Shahi Area, Gulbarga-585 102.

... Petitioner

(By Shri. Ameet Kumar Deshpande, Advocate)

And:

- 1. The State of Karnataka, Represented by the Chief Secretary to Government, Vidhan Soudha, Bengaluru-1.
- 2. The Karnataka Public Service Commission, Park House, Bangalore-560 001. Rep. by its Secretary.
- 3. Shivanand Kapashi, S/o. Bheemappa Kapashi, Aged about 39 years,

"GURU KRUPA", Basavanagar, Gokak, Belagavi District-590 001.

4. Milan.S. Murgod, C/o. S.S. Murgod, Aged about 43 years, R/o. "Bharat Nivas", 2nd Main, 6th Cross, CCB No.451, Sadashiva Nagar, Belagavi-590 001.

.. Respondents

(By Smt. Archana.P. Tiwari, AGA for R1)

This Review Petition is filed Under Order 47 Rule 1 R/w. U/s. 114 of CPC, praying for review of the Order dated 03/09/2014, passed in W.P. No.202778/2014 (S-KAT), on the file of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Circuit Bench, Gulbarga.

This Review Petition coming on for Orders, through Video Conference at Principal Bench, Bengaluru, this day, S.N. Satyanarayana J., made the following:

ORDER

The Petitioner in Writ Petition No.202778/2014 (S-KAT) is seeking review of the order dated 3rd September 2014, passed in the said writ petition by filing this review petition.

2. After going through the grounds urged in the memorandum of review petition and also the order

passed by the Division Bench of this Court, we find no justifiable grounds are made to review the order passed therein. If at all the review petitioner is aggrieved by the same, it is open for her to seek relief before appropriate forum, not in seeking review of the same. Accordingly,

3

In view of the dismissal of the review petition as not maintainable, question of considering the application in I.A.No.1/2015 for condonation of delay does not survive for consideration.

review petition is dismissed.

SD/-JUDGE

SD/-JUDGE

BMV*