

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT GULBARGA**

Dated this the 6th day of March, 2013

BEFORE:

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D V SHYLENDRA KUMAR

Writ Petition No.85767 of 2012 (EDN-RES)

BETWEEN:

GARIMA GEHLOT
D/O BRAJPALSINGH GEHLOT
AGE: 22 YEARS
OCC: STUDENT OF 2 PHASE OF
BAMS COURSE, STUDYING IN
RAJESHWARI KARPURMATH
MEMORIAL AYURVEDIC COLLEGE
AND HOSPITAL, BIJAPUR
CMC HOSPITAL
BADIKAMAN ROAD, BIJAPUR

... PETITIONER

[BY SRI. CHAITANYAKUMAR CHANDRIKI, ADVOCATE]

AND:

1. UNION OF INDIA
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO
THE GOVERNMENT
MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND
FAMILY WELFARE
DEPARTMENT OF AYURVEDIC
YOGA AND NATUROPATHY
UNANI, SIDDHA AND HOMEOPATHY
(AYUSH), IRCS BUILDING
NEW DELHI – 110 001

2. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY ITS SECRETARY TO
GOVERNMENT MEDICAL EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
FAMILY WELFARE EDUCATION
M.S. BUILDING
BANGALORE – 560 001
3. RAJIV GANDHI UNIVERSITY OF
HEALTH SCIENCES
REP. BY ITS REGISTRAR
4TH “T” BLOCK JAYNAGAR
BANGALORE – 560 041
4. CENTRAL COUNCIL OF INDIA MEDICINE
61-65 INSTITUTIONAL AREA, JANAKPURI
NEW DELHI – 110 058
BY ITS SECRETARY
5. THE PRINCIPAL
RAJESHWARI KARPURMATH
MEMORIAL AYURVEDIC COLLEGE
AND HOSPITAL, BIJAPUR – 586 101

... RESPONDENTS

(SRI R.A. SIDHAPURKAR, ADV. FOR R1;
SRI MANVENDRA REDDY, GOVT. ADV. FOR R2;
SRI VEERESH B. PATIL, ADV. FOR R3;
R4 & R5 – SERVED & UN-REPRESENTED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE FIRST RESPONDENT TO TRANSFER THE PETITIONER FROM FIFTH RESPONDENT COLLEGE TO ANY OTHER COLLEGE OFFERING BAMS COURSE RECOGNIZED BY THE 1ST AND THE 3RD RESPONDENTS, TO PROSECUTE HER SECOND PHASE OF STUDIES IN BAMS COURSE AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR HEARING ON I.A., THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

A memo is filed by the counsel for the petitioner seeking for permission to withdraw the writ petition.

2. Sri. Subhash Mallapur, counsel appearing on behalf of Sri. Chaitanyakumar Chandriki, counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition may be dismissed as withdrawn.

3. Sri. R.S.Sidhapurkar, learned counsel for respondent No.1, Sri. Manvendra Reddy, learned Government Advocate appearing for respondent No.2 have no objection.

4. Writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. In view of dismissal of the writ petition, I.A.1/2013 filed for direction does not survive for consideration and hence dismissed.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

LG