

: 1 :

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
DHARWAD BENCH**

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF JULY 2018

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE H.B.PRABHAKARA SASTRY

WRIT PETITION NO.84180/2013 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

DR. SHANKARGOUDA BHARAMANAIKAR
S/O. RAYANAIAK,
AGED 55 YEARS,
CTS-7234, SECTOR – 10, ANJANEYA NAGAR,
MM EXTENSION, BELGAUM-590016.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. VINAYAK S. KULKARNI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

- 1 RANI CHANNAMMA UNIVERSITY,
REP. BY ITS REGISTRAR,
VIDYA SANGAMA, P.B.ROAD,
BELAGAVI-591156,
BELGAUM DISTRICT.
- 2 THE BOARD OF APPOINTMENT/
SECTION COMMITTEE,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN &
VICE CHANCELLOR,
RANI CHANNAMMA UNIVERSITY,
VIDYA SANGAMA, P.B.ROAD,.
BELAGAVI-591156,
BELGAUM DISTRICT.
- 3 DR. VISHNUKANTH S. CHATAPALLI, PROFESSOR,
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION,
RANI CHANNAMMA UNIVERSITY,
VIDYA SANGAMA, P.B.ROAD,
BELAGAVI – 591156,
BELGAUM DISTRICT.

: 2 :

- 4 GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION (UNIVERSITIES)
M.S.BUILDING, DR. B.R.AMBEDKAR ROAD,
BANGALORE-560001
- 5 THE SECRETARY,
UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION (UGC)
BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG,
NEW DELHI - 110002

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. ANOOP G. DESHPANDE, ADV. FOR R1 ;
SRI. VEERESH R. BUDIHAL, ADV. FOR R2 ;
SRI. PRASHANT F. GOUDAR, ADV. FOR R3,
SRI. ANTHONY R. RODRIGUES, AGA FOR R4 ;
SRI. GANGADHAR S. HOSAKERI &
SRI. P.S.DINESHKUMAR, ADVOCATES FOR R5)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE APPOINTMENT OFFICE ORDER DATED 29.11.2012 (ANNEXURE-H) PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 ; DIRECT THE RESPONDENT NOS.1 & 2 TO RELEASE RESPONDENT NO.3 FROM THE POST OF PROFESSOR IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION WITH EFFECT FROM THE DATE OF HIS APPOINTMENT ; CALL FOR THE RECORDS RELATING TO IMPUGNED RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY 2ND RESPONDENT RECOMMENDING THE NAMES OF RESPONDENT NO.3 IN TERMS OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING DATED 19.11.2012 AND THE COPY OF THE PHD THESIS OF RESPONDENT NO.3 (ANNEXURE-G) ; DIRECT THE RESPONDENT UNIVERSITY TO ISSUE AN APPOINTMENT ORDER TO THE PETITIONER APPOINTING HIM AS A PROFESSOR OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION IN PLACE OF RESPONDENT NO.3 AND TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENT UNIVERSITY TO REIMBURSE THE COST INCURRED BY THE PETITIONER.

THIS WRIT PETITION COMING ON FOR *ORDERS* THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

: 3 :

ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a memo not pressing the writ petition. The memo is accompanied by a letter said to have been written by the petitioner to the said effect. Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 and learned Additional Government Advocate submits their 'no objection' to consider the memo.

In view of the memo and supporting submissions, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

*Svh/-