

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT DHARWAD**

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF JUNE 2013

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.S.PACHHAPURE

Cr1.P. No.10797/2012

BETWEEN:

MAHANTESH S/O GURULINGAPPA MURAGI,
AGE: 31 YEARS, R/O ADARSHA NAGAR,
GADAG, TAL & DIST: GADAG.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI.S.G.KADADAKATTI, ADV.)

AND:

SMT.VIJAYALAXMI @ SAVITHA,
W/O MAHANTESH MURAGI,
AGE: 25 YEARS, C/O SIDDALINGAPPA
BASAPPA KADAMPUR, HARLAPUR VILLAGE,
GADAG TALUK & DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI.C.B.PATIL, ADV.)

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS FILED UNDER
SECTION 482 OF CR.P.C. SEEKING TO QUASH THE
ORDER DATED 27.06.2012 PASSED BY THE
DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, GADAG IN
CRL.REV.No.4/2012 BY CONFIRMING THE ORDER
DATED 09.11.2011 PASSED BY THE FIRST JMFC,
GADAG IN CRL.MISC.No.209/2011 (290/2011) BY
ALLOWING THIS PETITION.

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

Petitioner has approached this Court for quashing the order of ex-parte interim maintenance ordered by the trial Court and affirmed in the revision by the learned Session Judge.

2. The petitioner is the husband of respondent. The marriage took place in the year 2009 and they led happy married life for sometime and thereafter, it is alleged that the petitioner subjected the respondent to cruelty, harassment and also insisted for dowry. It is in these circumstances, the respondent approached the trial Court for grant of maintenance. During the pendency of the petition, ex-parte interim maintenance of Rs.1,000/- was granted. In the revision petition, the learned Sessions Judge affirmed the order of interim maintenance.

Aggrieved by the findings of the Courts below, the present petition is filed.

3. The relationship between the parties is not seriously disputed. The respondent is the wife of the petitioner and in the present days, Rs.1,000/- is a meager amount. The trial Court has taken into consideration this aspect of the matter and granted maintenance of Rs.1,000/- so that she could survive and prosecute the petition filed. Taking into consideration the quantum of maintenance that has been awarded by the trial Court and confirmed in the revision, I do not find any merit in the petition.

In the result, petition is dismissed.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

MBS/-