



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 14TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R DEVDAS

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO. 125 OF 2023

BETWEEN:

M T RAJASHEKAR
S/O LATE THIMMEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
R/AT MADDANAHALLI VILLAGE
MAYASANDRA HOBLI
THURUVEKERE TALUK
TUMKUR DISTRICT -57222

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. DINAKAR M P., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. MANJEGOWDA M S
S/O LATE M L HANUMANTHAIAH
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
R/AT MUTHGADAHALLI VILLAGE
MAYASANDRA HOBLI
THURUVEKERE TALUK
TUMKUR DISTRICT 572227
2. M H NANJEGOWDA
S/O LATE M L HANUMANTHAIAH
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,





3. M H NANJEGOWDA
S/O LATE M L HANUMANTHAIAH
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
4. BANUPRAKASH
M L HANUMANTHAIAH
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
5. LAKSHMIDEVI
W/O LATE SHANKARAPPA
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
6. RAJEEVA
S/O LATE SHANKARAPPA
AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS,
7. CHAITHRA
D/O LATE SHANKARAPPA
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
THE RESPONENTS 1 TO 7 ARE
R/AT MUTHGADAHALLI VILLAGE
MAYASANDRA HOBLI
THURUVEKERE TALUK
TUMKUR DISTRICT 572227
8. BHAGYAMMA
D/O LATE ML HANUMANTHAIAH
W/O G N RAMACHANDRA
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
R/O GONI TUMKUR VILLAGE
DHABBEGHATTA HOBLI
THURUVEKERE TALUK
TUMKUR DISTRICT 572227
9. VASANTHAMMA
D/O LATE ML HANUMANTHAIAH



W/O VEERABHADRAIAH
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS,
R/AT BYLADAKERE VILLAGE
BELLUR HOBLI NAGAMANGALA TALUK
MANDYADISTRICT 5700147

10. NINGARAJAMMA
D/O LATE ML HANUMANTHAIAH
W/O RAMALINGEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 509 YEARS,
R/O BYADARAHALLI VILLAGE
DHABBEGHATTA HOBLI
THURUVEKERE TALUK
TUMKUR DISTRICT 572227

11. S T PRAMEELA
W/O M H NANJEOWDA
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
R/O MUTHAGADAHALLI VILLAGE
MAYASANDRA HOBLI
THURUVEKERE TALUK
TUMKUR DISTRICT 572227

...RESPONDENTS

THIS CRP IS FILED UNDER SECTION 115 OF CPC, 1908
QUASHING THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 18.08.2022 PASSED
BY THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC THURUVEKERE IN IA
NO.7/2021IN OS.NO.5/2019 AT ANNEXURE-F TO THE WRIT
PETITION AND CONSEQUENTLY ALLOW THE APPLICATION
FILED BY THE PETITIONER AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Matter is called out. There is no representation for the petitioner.

2. On perusal of the record, it is found that the original suit from out of which the present civil revision petition arises viz., O.S.No.05/2019 was dismissed on 02.01.2024 and therefore, the prayer made in the petition has become *infructuous*.

3. Accordingly, the civil revision petition stands ***disposed of***, since the prayer made in the civil revision petition has become *infructuous*.

4. Pending I.As., if any, stand disposed of.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

DL
CT: JL