IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

1

DATED THIS THE 18<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF DECEMBER, 2021

## **BEFORE**

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA S.DIXIT

# WRIT PETITION NO.23342 OF 2021 (GM-POLICE)

#### BETWEEN:

PRAKASH M, SON OF MUNIYAPPA, AGED 45 YEARS, RESIDING AT 111, J-3, 1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, 1<sup>ST</sup> MAIN ROAD, 4<sup>TH</sup> BLOCK, MLA LAYOUT, BEL ROAD, BANGALORE – 560 054.

...PETITIONER

(BY MISS AISHWARYA, ADVOCATE FOR SRI. ANAND THEERTHA D, ADVOCATE)

## AND:

- 1. STATE OF KARNATAKA, REPRESENTED BY THE HOME SECRETARY, VIDHANA SOUDHA, AMBEDKAR VEEDHI, BANGALORE – 560 001.
- 2. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, SANJAY NAGAR POLICE STATION, SANJAY NAGAR, BANGALORE – 560 094.
- 3. M N LOKESH, SON OF M S NANJAPPA, SHAMBHAVI NILAYA, 1<sup>ST</sup> CROSS, 1<sup>ST</sup> MAIN, BEHIND SREERAJ THEATRE, SREENGAR, TUMKUR, KARNATAKA – 572 104.
- 4. SMT. KAMINI MENON, W/O SRI. KRISHNA MENON, AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,

NO.58, 60 FEET ROAD, AMARAJYOTHI LAYOUT, SANJAY NAGARA, BENGALURU – 560 094.

5. MR. MOHAMMAD FAZIL K, S/O MR. JAMAL MOHAMMAD ABDUL NAZER K, NO.34, BEHIND POORNIMA NURSING HOME, 1<sup>ST</sup> CROSS, D V BLOCK, R T NAGAR, BENGALURU – 560 032.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VINOD KUMAR, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE R-2 TO REGISTER THE COMPLAINT FILED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 04.12.2021 VIDE ANNEX-A AND DIRECT TO CONDUCT INVESTIGATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.

2

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:-

# **ORDER**

The short grievance of the petitioner is as to non-registration of the complaint in terms of FIR which he has lodged on 04.12.2021 at Annexure-A.

2. Learned AGA on request having accepted notice for the respondent Nos.1 & 2, opposed the writ petition for some time; however, now he fairly submits that he would instruct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner in a time bound way keeping in view the parameters laid down by the Apex Court in LALITA KUMARI

3

Vs. GOVERNMENT OF UTTAR PRADESH & OTHERS, (2014)

2 SCC 1. This is appreciable.

In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed off, costs having been made easy.

Time for compliance is six weeks.

Costs made easy.

Sd/-JUDGE

cbc