1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU DATED THIS THE $22^{\rm ND}$ DAY OF JUNE 2015

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP D. WAINGANKAR

CRL. REV. PETITION NO. 1041/2014

BETWEEN:

A.P. GOVINDAPPA S/O LATE PILLAPPA MAJOR IN AGE R/A ALAMBADI VILLAGE MALUR TALUK KOLAR DISTRICT

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI VARADARAJAN M.S., ADVOCATE)

AND

D. ESHWAR REDDY
S/O SUBBA REDDY
MAJOR IN AGE
R/A NO.36, SPOORTHY NILAYA
13TH A CROSS
MICO NS PALYA
BTM 2ND STAGE
WARD NO.65
BEHIND SUPER MARKET
BANGALORE-560076
ALSO AT D. ESHWAR REDDY
S/O SUBBA REDDY

2

MAJOR IN AGE R/A NO.149 18TH MAIN, 7TH CROSS BTM LAYOUT 2ND PHASE BANGALORE-560076

... RESPONDENT

THIS CRL.RP IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 CR.P.C PRAYING TO REVISE THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 31.10.2014 PASSED IN C.C.NO.4395/2013 ON THE FILE OF THE XX-ACMM COURT, BANGALORE CITY IN SO FAR AS THE SENTENCE IS CONCERNED AND IMPOSE THE MAXIMUM PUNISHMENT CONTEMPLATED U/S 138 OF THE N.I. ACT.

THIS CRL.RP COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, THE COURT PASSED THE FOLLOWING:-

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner on maintainability.

2. This revision petition is preferred against the order of sentence imposed by the learned Magistrate for the offence under Section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act. It is submitted as against

3

the conviction for the offence under Section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act, the accused preferred a criminal appeal before the Sessions Judge in Criminal Appeal No.1467/2014, which is pending. In that case it is proper for the petitioner to withdraw this revision and present before Session Judge, so that, the criminal appeal and the revision petition can be heard together and dispose of.

3. Hence, the learned counsel is permitted to withdraw the revision petition, so as to present the same before the Sessions Judge. Accordingly, revision is disposed off.

Sd/-JUDGE

Sbs*