



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 13TH DAY OF JUNE, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 16715 OF 2023 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI. N. ASWATH REDDY,
S/O LATE A. NARAYAN REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 78 YEARS,
R/AT TARALU VILLAGE,
UTTARHALLI HOBLI,
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK,
BENGALURU - 560 082,
REPRESENTED BY HIS GPA HOLDER,
NAGABUSHAN REDDY,

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. V. V. GUNJAL., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI. B.P. RAMACHANDRA REDDY,
S/O SRI. LATE A. PILLA REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS,
R/AT NO.76/5, V.R.LAYOUT,
SARAKKI MAIN ROAD,
J.P.NAGAR, 1ST PHASE,
BANGALORE - 560 078.
2. THE TAHSILDAR,
BANGALORE SOUTH TALUK,
KANDAYA BHAVAN, KG ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 009.





3. TECHNICAL ASSISTANT TO
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER AND
EX - OFFICIO OF DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF
LAND RECORDS,
LAND RECORDS OFFICES,
SSLR BUILDING, KR CIRCLE,
BANGALORE – 560 001,
EMAIL. BHOOMI@KARNATAKA.GOV.IN,
MAIL.BHOOMI.BMC@GAMIL.COM,
PHONE.080-22113255.
4. THE ASST. DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS,
KANDAYA BHAVAN,
BANGALORE SOUTH TALUK,
KG ROAD,
BANGALORE – 560 009.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.B.ROPESHA, ADVOCATE FOR R1;
SRI.MANJUNATH K., HCGP FOR R2 TO R4)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH ANNEXURE-A DATED 16.06.2023 PASSED IN B J N / APPEAL / 06/ 2019-2023 BY THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR AND DISTRICT OFFICER, TECHNICAL AND SURVEY SETTLEMENT OF LAND RECORDS BANGALORE CITY DIST, BANGALORE AND ANNEXURE-A2 DATED 03.08.2022 TO 28.05.2023 IN B J N / APPEAL / 06 /2019-2023 BY THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR AND DISTRICT OFFICER, TECHNICAL AND SURVEY SETTLEMENT OF LAND RECORDS BANGALORE THE ORDER SHEET AND REHEAR THE MATTER AND ETC.,



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING
IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS
UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

In this writ petition, petitioner is assailing the order
dated 16.06.2023 (Annexure-A) passed by respondent
No.3.

2. It is contended by Sri. Vardhaman V. Gunjal, learned
counsel appearing for the petitioner by referring to
paragraph No.11 in the writ petition and submitted that,
fair opportunity has not been extended to the petitioner
herein and therefore, sought for interference by this Court.

3. Per contra, learned HCGP appearing for respondent
Nos.2 to 4 invited the attention of the Court to the
impugned order at Annexure-A and submitted that
respondent No.3 has remitted the matter to respondent



Nos.2 and 4 and in that view of the matter, no interference is called for in this petition.

4. Sri B. Ropesha, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 argued on similar lines.

5. In the light of submission made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, though learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has contended that, fair opportunity has not been extended to the petitioner, however, taking into consideration that respondent No.3 vide order dated 16.06.2023 remitted the matter to respondent No.2 and 4 to take decision in the matter afresh after affording an opportunity of hearing to all the parties concerned as well as in the civil proceedings, if any, between the parties, and in that view of the matter, I do not find any merit in this petition.

6. Accordingly writ petition is ***dismissed***. It is also made clear that this Court is having limited jurisdiction under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, to



NC: 2025:KHC:20436
WP No. 16715 of 2023

interfere with the remand order passed by quasi-judicial authority. Therefore, I do not find acceptable ground to interfere with the impugned order.

7. Any observation made by this Court, in this writ petition, shall not influence respondent No.2 and 4 to take decision in the matter in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

NG
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 47