1

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU DATED THIS THE $04^{\mathrm{TH}}$ DAY OF JANUARY 2016

# BEFORE

# THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.CHANDRASHEKARA

## **CRIMINAL PETITION NO.5556 OF 2015**

### **BETWEEN:**

GEETHA N
AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS
W/O. RAMANCHANDRA
R/AT GANGAMMA BUILDING
GOPALAPPA LAYOUT
NEAR SUVARNA STUDIO
PAPIREDDYPALYA
BENGALURU-560072

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI AMBAJI RAO NAJRE, ADV.)

### AND:

- 1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
  BY JNANABHARATHI POLICE STATION
  BENGALURU 560 009
- 2. RAMACHANDRA S/O SHIVALINGEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS R/AT NO.1742, 5<sup>TH</sup> BLOCK V V LAYOUT, BENGALURU - 560061

... RESPONDENT

2

(BY SRI K. NAGESHWARAPPA, HCGP; SMT N.K.KAMALA, FOR M/S.SAMARTHANA ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 439(1)(b) OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE BAIL ORDER PASSED BY THE LEARNED XLV ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND S.J., BENGALURU CITY (CCH-46) DATED 17.07.2015 IN CRL.MISC.NO.3807/2015 U/S.438 OF CR.P.C IN 13.06.2015 CR.NO.239/2015 DATED REGISTERED INANABHARATHI P.S., BENGALURU PENDING ON THE FILE OF IX A.C.M.M., BANGALORE FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 498A OF IPC AND SEC. 3 AND 4 OF D.P. ACT AND DIRECT THE RESPONDENT NO.1 POLICE TO ARREST THE RESPONDENT NO.2 WITH IMMEDIATE EFFECT AND PRODUCE BEFORE THE TRIAL COURT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

#### ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader. Perused the records.

2. Present petition is filed under Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C. requesting this Court to cancel the bail granted in favour of 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent herein by the learned Additional City Civil & Sessions Judge, Bengaluru City (CCH-46) in Crl.Misc.No.3807/2015 under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.

3

3. Admittedly, the offences alleged against the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent are punishable under Section 498A of IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of D.P.Act. The 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent has already filed a petition seeking divorce and the same is pending before the Family Court at Bangalore. It is also submitted that petitioner has filed an application seeking maintenance and the matter would be referred to Bangalore Mediation Centre.

4. All disputes arising out of marital life will have to be referred to pre-litigation mediation to ascertain the possibilities of settlement. Hence, no good grounds are made out to interfere with the well considered order of granting anticipatory bail to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent by the Court of Sessions.

With these above observations, petition is dismissed.

Sd/-JUDGE

HJ