



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 13TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2023

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.NARENDAR

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO.702 OF 2023

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. CHANDRAPPA
S/O LATE DODDAMUNIYAPPA
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.306, BEHIND
MUNESHWARA TEMPLE
MADAR TERESSA SCHOOL ROAD
VARANASI, JINKE THIMMANAHALLI
K.R. PURAM, BENGALURU-560036.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. S. SHIVAPRASAD, ADV.,)

AND:

1. SRI. RAMESH
TAHASILDAR
MALUR TALUK
MALUR-563130
KOLAR DISTRICT.
2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
BENGALURU - 560001
REPTD. BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.

...ACCUSED

(BY SRI. DEVARA ASHOK, GA)

- - -

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE
CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT 1971 R/W ARTICLE 215 OF
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO TAKE INITIATE



CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE
ACCUSED/RESPONDENT FOR HAVING DELIBERATELY FAILED
TO COMPLY WITH THE DIRECTIONS ISSUED BY THIS HONBLE
COURT BY THE ORDER DATED 27.09.2022 PASSED IN W.P.
NO.21380/2021 AT ANNEXURE-B.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING,
THIS DAY, **G.NARENDAR J.**, MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

The matter was called out in the morning and we directed the learned Additional Government Advocate to secure instructions from the jurisdictional Tahsildar with regard to the compliance of the directions issued. It is submitted that on 11.09.2023 the jurisdictional Tahsildar has issued an endorsement after verifying the original records as directed by the learned Single Judge. In paragraph 4, the learned Single Judge has directed as under:

"4. Consequently, this writ petition stands disposed of with a specific direction to the respondent-Tahsildar to consider the representation given by the petitioner and in the light of the observations made hereinabove, verify the original records in the presence of the petitioner and pass necessary orders to enter the name of the petitioner in the land revenue records as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order."



2. It is submitted by the learned Additional Government Advocate that there are no records much less original records available in the office and the documents appear to be fabricated once and in that view, the endorsement came to be issued to the petitioner on 11.09.2023.

3. In view of the serious allegations of fabrication of records, we do not deem it necessary to retain the petition on board.

4. Accordingly, the contempt proceedings are dropped for the present with liberty to the complainant to challenge the endorsement, one more copy of which is furnished to the complainant today. Costs made easy.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

RV