

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 31<sup>ST</sup> DAY OF MAY 2019

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOHN MICHAEL CUNHA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO.5143 OF 2012

BETWEEN:

1. SRI P JAYAMADHAVA  
S/O PRABHASHANKAR  
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS  
TAHSILDAR, MULBAGAL  
MULBAGAL TALUK-563131.
2. SRI SRINIVASAIAH SHETTI  
S/O SUBBAIAH SETTY  
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS  
R R T SHIRASTEDAR  
TALUK OFFICE, MULABAGILU  
MULBAGAL TALUK-563131.
3. SRI VENKATESH  
S/O ASWATHAPPA  
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS  
REVENUE INSPECTOR  
KASABA HOBLI  
MULBAGAL TALUK-563131.
4. SRI NAGARJ  
S/O PARTHAVA DIXIT  
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS  
RETIRED REVENUE SECRETARY  
SIDDAGHATTA PANCHAYATHI  
R/AT OPP TO ESWARA TEMPLE

SOMESHWARA PALYA  
MULBAGAL TOWN-563131.

5. SRI SHIVAKUMAR  
S/O K VEEREGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS  
SURVEYOR, TALUK OFFICE  
MULBAGAL-563131.
6. SRI M SOMASHEKARAIHAH  
S/O MANNAGAIHAH  
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS  
LICENSE HOLDER SURVEYOR  
TALUK OFFICE  
MULBAGAL-563131 ... PETITIONERS

(BY SRI: RAMESH KUMAR V., ADVOCATE FOR  
SRI: P M NARAYANASWAMY, ADVOCATE)

AND

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA BY  
MULBAGAL POLICE STATION  
MULBAGAL CIRCLE  
KOLAR
2. SRI V SHIVARAJ  
S/O LATE VENKATESHAPPA  
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS  
M HOSAHALLI VILLAGE  
KASABA HOBLI, MULBAGAL TALUK ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI: NASRULLA KHAN, HCGP FOR R1;  
SRI: P N HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO  
QUASH THE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS PENDING ON THE FILE OF  
THE PRL. CIVIL JUDGE (JR.DN.) & JMFC COURT, MULBAGAL,  
KOLAR DIST., IN CRIME No.282/2012 IN PCR No.32/2012.

THIS CRL.P COMING ON FOR HEARING THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:-

**ORDER**

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Even though a direction was issued to the petitioners to produce the certified copy of MR No.5/2009-10 dated 09.03.2010, petitioners have not produced the same.

3. The material allegation made against the petitioners is that accused Nos.1 to 6 in collusion with accused No.7, without any basis, got the name of accused No.7 mutated in the properties comprised in S.No.1/1 of M.Hosahalli Village, Mulbagal.

4. These allegations, prima facie, attract the ingredients alleged in the petition. Therefore, at this stage, this Court cannot interfere with the matter. As a result, the petition is dismissed.

Sd/-  
JUDGE

rs