



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF JULY, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.10159 OF 2025 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. NANJUNDAPPA K.M.
S/O LATE MUNI KEMPAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS.
2. SRI. K.M MUNIYAPPA
S/O LATE KALAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 79 YEARS.
3. SRI. K. MAHESHWARAPPA
S/O LATE KALAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS.

ALL ARE RESIDING AT:
KUPPAHALLI VILLAGE, NANDI HOBLI,
CHIKKABALLAPUR TALUK,
CHIKKABALLAPUR DISTRICT - 562 101.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SMT. B.V. HEMALATHA, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. B.R. SRINIVASA GOWDA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS,
DISTRICT OFFICES COMPLEX,
SIDLAGHATTA ROAD,
CHIKKABALLAPUR - 562 101.
2. THE CHIKKABALLAPUR URBAN
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY/PRESIDENT
CITRUS CITY MEADOWS, 4TH SECTOR,
TUMAKALAHALLI VILLAGE,
CHIKKABALLAPUR - 562 101.





HC-KAR

NC: 2025:KHC:28681
WP NO.10159 OF 2025

3. MR. MADAR SAHEB
S/O LATE NANNE SAHEB
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
R/AT NO.45, JAFFER MANCHILLA,
10TH MAIN ROAD, 15TH CROSS,
WILSON GARDEN,
BENGALURU - 560 027.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SIDDHARTH BABURAO, AGA FOR R1)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE HISSA SURVEY SKETCH DATED 18TH JANUARY, 2025 APPROVED AND ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 IN RESPECT OF THE SURVEY NO.41 SITUATE AT KUPPAHALLI VILLAGE, NANDI HOBLI, CHIKKABALLAPUR VIDE ANNEXURE-G; DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATIONS GIVEN BY THE PETITIONER NO.1 DATED 04TH DECEMBER, 2024 AND 05TH MARCH, 2025 VIDE ANNEXURES 'K1' AND 'L1' RESPECTIVELY FOR NOT TO CONSTRUCT THE ILLEGAL ROAD IN PETITIONERS AGRICULTURE LAND BY THE RESPONDENT NO.3 WITH THE SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT NO.1; AND ETC.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Sri. Siddharth Baburao, learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.1.

2. In this writ petition, the petitioners are assailing the Survey Sketch dated 18th January, 2025 (Annexure-G) approved and issued by the respondent No.1 in respect of the land in question.



3. Sri. Siddharth Baburao, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondent No.1 submits that the petitioners are having efficacious remedy under the provisions of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 for redressal of their grievance.

4. In that view of the matter, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, petitioners are permitted to avail the remedy available under the provisions of Karnataka Land Revenue Act. With this observation, writ petition stands ***disposed of.***

**Sd/-
(E.S. INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 22