



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF JULY, 2024

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

REGULAR SECOND APPEAL NO.1854 OF 2021 (PAR)

BETWEEN:

1. JAVARAIAH,
S/O LATE SANNAKULLA,
AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS,
R/A BELLUR VILLAGE AND HOBLI,
NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571418.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI RAVI M.M. ,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. RANGASWAMY,
S/O LATE RANGAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS.
2. RANGAMMA,
D/O LATE RANGAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS.
3. GOWRI,
D/O LATE RANGAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS.

ALL ARE R/AT BELLUR VILLAGE AND HOBLI,
NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571418.

4. JAVARAMMA,
D/O SANNAKULLA,
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,
R/AT AMRUTHURU VILLAGE AND HOBLI,





KUNIGAL TALUK,
TUMKUR DISTRICT-572111.

5. JAYARATHNAMA,
W/O KEMPAIAH,
D/O SANNAKULLA,
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
R/AT HOUSE NO.1038,
10TH MAIN, 5TH CROSS,
DASARAHALLI,
BENGALURU-570057.
6. PUTTARANGAMMA,
W/O MALLAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
R/AT HESARUGATTA VILLAGE,
T B ANNAMMA THOTA,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-560088.
7. RANGAMMA,
W/O SHANKAR,
D/O LATE SANNAKULLA,
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS.
8. RAMACHANDRA,
S/O DASAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS.
9. LAKSHMAMMA,
W/O KANTHARAJU,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS.
10. GOVINDAIAH,
S/O HANUMAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS.
11. SUBRAMANI,
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
BEHIND HARIJAN COLONY.
12. BHAGYAMMA,
W/O JAVARAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS.



RESPONDENTS 8 TO 12 ARE
R/AT BELLUR VILLAGE AND HOBLI,
NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571418.

...RESPONDENTS

THIS RSA IS FILED UNDER SECTION 100 OF CPC,
AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 14.12.2020
PASSED IN R.A.NO.16/2014 ON THE FILE OF THE SENIOR CIVIL
JUDGE AND JMFC NAGAMANGALA, DISMISSING THE APPEAL
AND CONFIRMING THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED
30.04.2014 PASSED IN O.S.NO.70/2008 ON THE FILE OF THE
CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, NAGAMANGALA.

THIS APPEAL COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, THE
COURT DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

J U D G M E N T

The learned counsel for the appellant is absent. This
matter is listed for the fourth time for non-compliance of the
office objections. This Court vide order dated 24.01.2024,
granted three weeks time to comply with the office objections
on payment of cost of Rs.500/-. In spite of the said order, the
learned counsel for the appellant has not paid the cost and not
complied with the office objections.

2. Hence, the second appeal is dismissed for non-
payment of cost and non-compliance of office objections.

Sd/-
JUDGE

MD
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 45