

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE**DATED: This the 27th day of May, 2004****BEFORE****THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.R.BANNURMATH****CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO. 561/2004****BETWEEN:**

Mariyappa,
S/o Muninanjappa,
Aged about 70 years,
Sonnappanahalli,
Bettahalasoor Post,
Bangalore-560 048.

...PETITIONER

(Sri. M. Erappa Reddy, Adv.)

AND:

The Yelahanka Merchants
Finance Company Pvt Ltd.,
L.V. Complex, B.B. Road,
Yelahanka, Bangalore,
Rep. by its Manager Smt. Girija.

...RESPONDENT

...

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 397 Cr.P.C. praying to set aside the order of conviction and sentence passed by the XII Addl. C.M.M. Bangalore, in C.C.No. 29370/99 dated 18.4.2002 and the order dated 10.3.2004 in CrI.A.No.276/02 on the file of the Fast Track Court-VIII, Bangalore.

This Criminal Petition coming on for Admission this day, the Court made the following :

ORDER

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

Being aggrieved by the judgment of conviction in C.C.No. 29370/99 passed by the XII Additional C.M.M., Bangalore, holding the accused/petitioner guilty under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and by the order dated 10.3.2004 passed by the Fast Track Court-VIII, Bangalore, in Criminal Appeal No. 276/02 affirming the judgment of conviction by the trial Court, the present revision petition is filed.

Even though the scope and jurisdiction of this Court in revisional jurisdiction is limited, on considering the arguments of the learned Counsel for the petitioner that the material documents have not been considered especially Exs.D16 as well as D.1 to 15 are concerned, on going through the records especially the appellate judgment, it is seen that the Appellate Court has in detail considered these documents and has given its findings upholding the judgment of conviction.



On going through the impugned judgments, I do not find any illegality or perversity in the impugned orders and hence, I see no merit. Petition is rejected.

Sd/-
Judge

Nsu/-