

R.P.F.C.No.4/2007 (Crl. Misc.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF FEBRUARY 2007

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.G.RAMESH

R.P.F.C. No.4/2007 (Crl. Misc.)

BETWEEN:

PUTTAMADAIAH
S/O MADAIAH
AGED 62 YEARS
R/AT NO.4104, NEW NO.L-104
III STAGE, KUVEMPUNAGAR
MYSORE 23

..PETITIONER

(BY SRI L NARAYANASWAMY, ADVOCATE.)

AND:

- 1 SMT MANJULA
W/O PUTTAMADAIAH
AGE: 44 YEARS
C/O T M DANDAIAH
R/O EWS 164
II STAGE, KUVEMPUNAGAR
MYSORE 23
- 2 ROOPANGINI
D/O PUTTAMADAIAH
AGE: 28 YEARS
C/O T.M.DANDAIAH
R/O EWS 164
II STAGE, KUVEMPUNAGAR
MYSORE 23
- 3 SUBHASHINI
D/O PUTTAMADAIAH
AGE: 26 YEARS
C/O T.M.DANDAIAH
R/O EWS 164
II STAGE, KUVEMPUNAGAR
MYSORE 23

..RESPONDENTS

R.P.F.C.No.4/2007 (Crl. Misc.)

THIS RPFC IS FILED U/S 19 (4) OF THE FAMILY COURTS ACT AGAINST THE ORDER DATED: 17.11.2006 PASSED IN C.MIS.NO.409/2003 ON THE FILE OF THE JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, MYSORE, PARTLY ALLOWING THE PETITION AND ENHANCING THE MAINTENANCE AWARDED IN C.MIS.55/1993 BY THE III ACJM, MYSORE, DATED: 9.7.2006 AND CONFIRMED IN CRL.RP. NO.128/1996 BY THE PRL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MYSORE DATED: 7.8.97 TO RS.1,000/- P.M. TO THE RESPONDENT NO.1 HEREIN AND RS.750/- P.M. TO THE RESPONDENT NOS. 2 & 3 EACH RESPECTIVELY FROM THE DATE OF THE PETITION.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ADMISSION THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

This revision petition by the husband is directed against the order dated 17.11.2006 passed by the Family Court at Mysore in C.Mis.No.409/2003. By the impugned order, the Family Court has enhanced the maintenance earlier awarded by the Court of III A.C.J.M., Mysore in C.Mis.No.55/93 to Rs.1,000/- per month to respondent No.1 & Rs.750/- per month to respondent Nos.2 & 3 herein, who are the wife and daughters of the petitioner respectively.

2. I have heard Sri L.Narayanaswamy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and perused the impugned order.



R.P.F.C.No.4/2007 (Crl. Misc.)

3. I find no legal infirmity in the order impugned herein to warrant interference under the revisional jurisdiction of this Court under Section 19(4) of the Family Courts Act, 1984. Hence, the revision petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
Judge

Shl/-