

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU DATED THIS THE $25^{\text{TH}}$ DAY OF MARCH, 2024 BEFORE

## THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD WRIT PETITION NO.7847 OF 2024 (LB-BMP)

#### **BETWEEN:**

SRI. G R ASHOK KUMAR
S/O SRI. G RATHNAIAH SETTY
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS
R/A NO.18/202
RADHAKRISHNA NIVAS
15<sup>TH</sup> CROSS, 4<sup>TH</sup> TEMPLE ROAD
MALLESHWARAM
BENGALURU – 560 003.
SENIOR CITIZEN BENEFIT NOT CLAIMED.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. JNANESH KUMAR K, ADVOCATE)

#### AND:

- 1. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER
  BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE
  HUDSON CIRCLE
  N R SQUARE
  BENGALURU 560 002.
- 2. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF TOWN PLANNING DASARAHALLI ZONE,
  BBMP
  BENGALURU 560 073.
- 3. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER DASARAHALLI ZONE BBMP BENGALURU 560 073.
- 4. E. DEEPAK VARMA S/O E. UDAY VARMA MAJOR, NO.541, 2<sup>ND</sup> CROSS,



HMT LAYOUT, NAGASANDRA POST, BENGALURU- 560 007. (AMENDMENT IS CARRIED OUT AS PER ORDER DATED 02.02.2024)

...RESPONDENTS

((BY SMT. CHAITHRAVATHI B S, ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R3; SRI. NANDISH PATIL ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE APPLICATION AT ANNEXURE-D DATED 22/02/2024.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE FOLLOWING:

### **ORDER**

The petitioner has filed this petition asserting that the private respondents (the fourth and fifth respondents), the owners of a neighbouring property, are building in violation of the sanctioned plan and the BBMP and its officials are not taking any action. The petitioner has therefore sought for directions to the BBMP to act upon the complaint and initiate proceedings against the fourth and fifth respondents. It is now stated in unison that the BBMP has initiated proceedings under Section 248 of the BBMP Act, 2020 and the private respondents being

- 3 -



NC: 2024:KHC:12187 WP No. 7847 of 2024

aggrieved by the same, have preferred their writ petition in W.P.No.8854/2024. It is obvious from the afore that this writ petition is rendered infructuous and stand *disposed of* accordingly without prejudice to the parties to canvass their respective grounds in the aforesaid writ petition.

Sd/-JUDGE

DR

List No.: 1 Sl No.: 22