

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23<sup>RD</sup> DAY OF APRIL, 2018

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.NARENDAR

WRIT PETITION Nos.30169/2017 &  
5482-5489/2018 (BDA)

BETWEEN

1. SRI SUBRAMANYAM  
S/O. LATE. NARAYANAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,  
R/AT NO. 27, MUNESHWARA LAYOUT,  
BOMMANNAHALI,  
BANGALORE 560068.
2. SMT. PARZANA KAUSER  
W/O. SYED KAISAR,  
AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS,  
R/AT NO.2/A, 8<sup>TH</sup> 'C' MAIN,  
1<sup>ST</sup> STAGE, HBR LAYOUT,  
BANGALORE 560087.
3. SRI. M. NISSAR AHMED,  
S/O. LATE. ABDUL KAREEM SARDAR,  
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,  
R/AT NO.372, 8<sup>TH</sup> CROSS,  
8<sup>TH</sup> MAIN, 1<sup>ST</sup> BLOCK, 2<sup>ND</sup> STAGE,  
HBR LAYOUT, BANGALORE 560087.
4. SRI. L K JANARDHANIAH,  
S/O. KRISHNAIAH,  
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,  
NO. 796, 13<sup>TH</sup> CROSS, 16<sup>TH</sup> MAIN,  
EWS COLONY, 2<sup>ND</sup> STAGE,  
BTM LAYOUT, BANGALORE.
5. SMT. PARIJATHA GURAM  
W/O. SUMAN PRAKASH GURAM,  
AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS,

NO. 4, AKSHAYA APARTMENT,  
VINAYA NAGAR, 'R' BAKERY ROAD,  
A.S. PALYA, BANGALORE 560017.

6. SMT. BHAGYALAKSHMI R  
D/O. RAMAKRISHNAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS,  
NO. GB-114, VIMANAPURA COLONY,  
BANGALORE EAST TALUK,  
BANGALORE.
7. SRI. T. SRINIVASA REDDY,  
S/O. G. PILLAREDDY,  
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,  
NO. 20, 6<sup>TH</sup> CROSS, 16<sup>TH</sup> MAIN,  
BTM LAYOUT, BANGALORE.
8. SMT. SEEMA AHMED,  
D/O. GOPAL SINGH,  
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,  
NO. 21/1-1, 19<sup>TH</sup> CROSS,  
SARJAPURA ROAD,  
JAYAMAHAL, BANGALORE-560021.
9. SRI. MUKTHER AHMED,  
S/O. MANSOOR AHMED,  
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,  
NO.23, 2<sup>ND</sup> CROSS,  
BISMILLA NAGAR, JAYANAGAR,  
BANGALORE 560041. ... PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SHIVASHANKARA Y D, ADV.-PRESENT)

AND

THE COMMISSIONER,  
BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,  
T.CHOWDAIAH ROAD,  
KUMARA PARK WEST,  
BANGALORE-560020. ... RESPONDENT

THESE WRIT PETITIONS ARE FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITY TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DTD AS PER ANNEX-J AND L DTD 08.08.2013 AND 19.01.2016 FOR ALLOTMENT OF '20 X 30' SITES TO THE EACH OF THE PETITIONERS IN ANY OF THE LAYOUTS AND ALSO AS PER THE LIST PREPARED BY THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITY AS PER ANNEX-K.

THESE WPs COMING ON FOR 'PRELIMINARY HEARING' THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

This Court had granted four weeks' time to comply with the office objections failing which, it was directed to re-list the petition for dismissal.

2. The case is called out. There is no representation. Office note states that the petitioner has not complied with the office objections.

3. In the light of the order dated 13.3.2018, the petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-  
JUDGE

Sk/-  
CT-HR