IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU DATED THIS THE 12TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2024 **BEFORE**

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH WRIT PETITION NO. 3450 OF 2022 (BDA)

Between:

Sri Abdul Jameel S/o Late Mohammed Inayathulla Aged about 52 years R/at No.27, 1st Cross, Oop. Tolgate, Next to Gopalan Mall, Bengaluru - 560026.

...Petitioner

(By Sri Manjunatha S.V., Advocate)

And:

- 1. The Commissioner Bengaluru Development Authority T.Chowdaiah Road, Kumara Park (W) Bengaluru-560020.
- The Financial Member Bengaluru Development Authority Bangalore-560020

...Respondents

(By Sri Ajay Kumar M., Advocate for R1 and R2)

This Writ Petition is filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, praying to quash the impugned Auction Notification dated 31.01.2022 in respect of the commercial shop No.4 in the first floor, situated at Commercial Complex Valagerahalli, Bangalore, measuring 142.3 Sq.Mtrs vide Annexure - A issued by the respondent No.1 and etc.

This Writ Petition, coming on for preliminary hearing in 'B' Group, this day, order was made therein as under:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is not pressing prayer (a) as he contended that prayer (a) has become infructuous. Nextly, the grievance of the petitioner is that he has participated in the auction proceedings and accordingly sought for direction for respondent no.2 to consider the representation dated 03.02.2022 (Annexure 'F' to the writ Having taken note of the contents in the petition). representation dated 03.02.2022, respondent no.2 is directed to consider the same within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

> Sd/-(E.S.INDIRESH)

SD