

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

CCP(S) No. 128/2023 in  
[WP(C) No. 328/2023]

Inhabitants of Village Dambra East  
Mahanpur Kathua

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Mayank Gupta, Advocate.

**Vs**

Ajeet Singh, Deputy Commissioner Basohli  
and another

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG.

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAVED IQBAL WANI, JUDGE**

**ORDER**  
**08.05.2023**

1. Through the medium of the instant petition, the petitioner seeks initiation of the contempt proceedings against the respondents/contemnors for having allegedly violated order dated 16.02.2023 in terms whereof the Additional Deputy Commissioner, Basholi-respondent/contemnor No. 1 herein came to be directed to ensure status-quo on spot with respect to khasra No. 1775 as also public path intervening khasra No. 1773 and Khasra No. 1775 of the Village Dambra East, Tehsil Mahanpur, Kathua without letting any construction activity whatsoever in any manner to take place with further direction to submit the detail factual report in this regard supported with an affidavit within a period of one month.

2. Perusal of the record reveals that a status report stands filed on 09.03.2022 by respondent Nos. 3 & 4 to the petition being respondents/contemnors Nos. 1 & 2 herein.

3. In the status report, it is being stated that an application came to be filed by one Gopal Singh on 24.12.2022 for stopping illegal encroachment of public pond area and for boundary demarcation of khasra No. 1773 of Dambra East and that on the very same day, the construction was stopped by the field staff till completion of the demarcation work and that the said Ram Dass who have had raised construction over khasra No. 1775 i.e., Pond area on land measuring 1 marla came to be directed to remove the said construction/encroachment and that the same was removed by him.

Perusal of the report further reveals that demarcation came to be effected and illegal encroachments removed immediately.

In view of the aforesaid status report filed by the respondents/contemnors herein notwithstanding the fact that the said report is signed by the Tehsildar-respondent No. 2 herein, seemingly, there is no violation of the order dated 16.02.2023 passed by the Court as is alleged in the contempt petition. The contempt petition entails dismissal and is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

**(JAVED IQBAL WANI)**  
**JUDGE**

Jammu  
08.05.2023  
*Shivalee*

Whether the order is speaking : Yes/No

Whether the order is reportable : Yes/No