Sr. No. 1-37, 83, 84, 88, 93-206, 209-218

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH **AT JAMMU**

CJ Court

Case: CEA No.10 of 2020

along with all connected appeals

Commissioner of C G S T and

...Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Commissioner of Central Excise, J&K,

Jammu

Through: Sh. Jagpaul Singh, Advocate.

V/s

Industrial Narbada Bari Brahmana Respondent(s)

Jammu

Through: Ms. Kanika Malhotra, Advocate.

Sh. K S Johal, Sr. Advocate with Sh. Karman Singh Johal, Advocate Sh. Pranav Kohli, Sr. Advocate with Sh. Farhan Mirza, Advocate. Smt. Seema Khajooria Shekhar, Sr.

Advocate with Ms. Snigdha

Shekhar, Advocate.

M/s Gautam Chugh, Amrinder Singh, J. A. Hamal, Ajay Jain, Advocates.

M/s Jatin Mahajan, Mohd. Ashfaq Mir. Amit Bhardwai, Advoctes. Ms. Supriya Arora, Advocate.

Ms. Garima Gupta, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNESH OSWAL, JUDGE

ORDER

These are central excise appeals. Most of the appeals have been filed with the delay except for two.

Learned counsel for the appellant is directed to provide a list of all similar appeals indicating the amount of delay in each of them.

List these appeals together on 08.11.2021 for consideration of the delay condonation applications and the counsels for the respondents may file their objections, if they so want, in each of the appeals, if not already filed.

The copy of the application seeking modification of the judgment delivered by the Supreme Court in the case of M/s SRD Nutrients Private Limited v. Commissioner of Central Excise is permitted to be taken on record.

The request of the counsel for the appellant to adjourn the hearing of the delay condonation applications till the matter is considered by the Supreme Court is not found to be tenable and is accordingly rejected.

Application No.7610/2021 stands disposed of.

Henceforth, the Central Excise Appeal No.10/2020 would be treated as the leading case.

(RAJNESH OSWAL) JUDGE (PANKAJ MITHAL) CHIEF JUSTICE

Jammu 06.10.2021 Raj Kumar

