IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND	AT	RANCHI		
W.P. (T) No. 3228 of 2021 M/s. Pali Hill Breweries Private Limited	•••	Petitioner		
Versus				
State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 3374 of 2021				
M/s. Brahmaputra Metallics Limited Versus	•••	Petitioner		
State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 3499 of 2021				
M/s. Ramkrishna Forgings Limited		Petitioner		
Versus		.		
State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 3734 of 2021				
M/s. RSB Transmissions (India) Limited Versus	•••	Petitioner		
State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 3829 of 2021				
M/s. RSB Transmissions (India) Limited Versus		Petitioner		
State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 4035 of 2021				
M/s. Usha Martin Limited Versus		Petitioner		
State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 4077 of 2021				
M/s. BMW Industries Limited and others Versus	•••	Petitioners		
State of Jharkhand and others With		Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 4108 of 2021				
M/s. Rungta Mines Limited Versus	•••	Petitioners		
The State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 4968 of 2021				
Association of DVC HT Consumers of Jharkhand Versus		Petitioner		
State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 5429 of 2021				
M/s ESL Steel Limited	•••	Petitioner		
Versus State of Jharkhand and others With		Respondents		
VV ILII				

W.P. (T) No. 120 of 2022				
M/s. Narayani Fuels Private Limited Versus	•••	Petitioner		
State of Jharkhand and others With		Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 409 of 2022				
M/s. BMC Metalcast Private Limited Versus		Petitioner		
The State of Jharkhand and others With		Respondents		
W.P.(T) No. 411 of 2022				
M/s. ASL Enterprises Limited Versus		Petitioner		
The State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 433 of 2022				
Amalgam Steel & Power Limited Versus		Petitioner		
State of Jharkhand and others With		Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 434 of 2022				
M/s. Eefco Metals & Powders Private Limited Versus		Petitioner		
The State of Jharkhand and others With		Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 435 of 2022				
M/s. Eefco Metals & Powders Private Limited Versus	•••	Petitioner		
The State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 436 of 2022				
M/s. BMC Ferrocast Private Limited Versus		Petitioner		
The State of Jharkhand and others With		Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 437 of 2022				
M/s. Highco Engineers Private Limited Versus		Petitioner		
The State of Jharkhand and others With		Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 447 of 2022				
M/s. Highco Engineers Private Limited Versus		Petitioner		
The State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 552 of 2022				
M/s. Ami Enterprises Private Limited Versus	•••	Petitioner		
The State of Jharkhand and others With	•••	Respondents		
W.P. (T) No. 553 of 2022				

-3-

M/s. Sai Electrocasting Private Limited Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand and others Respondents

With

W.P. (T) No. 554 of 2022

M/s. Hari Om Casting Company Private Limited Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand and others Respondents

With

W.P. (T) No. 555 of 2022

M/s. Accropoly Metal Industries Private Limited Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD

For the Petitioners: M/s. M.S. Mittal (Sr. Advocate), Rahul Lamba,

Sumeet Gadodia, Shilpi Sandil Aakansha Mittal, Indrajit Sinha, Vipul Poddar, Deepak Kumar Sinha, Amrita Sinha,

Sharma, Advocates

For the State/Resp: Mr. Sachin Kumar, Addl. A.G.-II

Mr. P.A.S. Pati, G.A.-II

Mr. Kunal Chandra Suman, A.C to S.C-I

Mr. Rahul Saboo, G.P.II

Mr. Ashok Kumar Yadav, Sr. S.C-I

For TSUISL: Ms. Varsha Ramsisaria, Advocate

For DVC M/s Sanjoy Piprawall & Prince Kumar, Advocates

Oral Order

18/Dated: 16.08.2022

I.A. No.4339 of 2022 (in WP(T) No.553 of 2022), I.A. No.4079 of 2022 (in WP(T) No.554 of 2022), I.A. No.4071 of 2022 (in WP(T) No.555 of 2022) and I.A. No.5436 of 2022 (in WP(T) No.5429 of 2021)

Heard the parties.

It is contended that during pendency of the writ petitions (W.P.(T) No.553 of 2022, WP(T) No.554 of 2022, WP(T) No.555 of 2022 and WP(T) No.5429 of 2021), the Jharkhand Electricity Duty (Amendment) Act, 2021 has been framed vide gazette notification dated 01.04.2022, validity of which the writ petitioners want to challenge, for which these Interlocutory Applications have been filed.

-4-

Having heard the parties, the writ petitioners are permitted to assail the aforesaid notification. Accordingly, reliefs mentioned in paragraph 7(A) of the Interlocutory Applications would form part of the writ petitions. The questions of law and the statements made in the Interlocutory Applications would also form part of the writ petitions.

Accordingly, Interlocutory Applications are allowed.

However, the State would be at liberty to file counter affidavit with respect to the new amendments.

I.A. No.4891 of 2022 (in WP(T) No.433 of 2022)

Mr. Vipul Poddar, learned counsel appears and seeks leave of the Court to withdraw this interlocutory application to pursue I.A. No.4984 of 2022, which according to the petitioner, is a better application.

Heard.

Leave is granted.

I.A. No.4891 of 2022 stands dismissed as withdrawn whereas I.A. No.4984 of 2022 would be considered.

WP(T) No.3228 of 2021, WP(T) No.3374 of 2021, WP(T) No.3499 of 2021, WP(T) No.3734 of 2021, WP(T) No.3829 of 2021, WP(T) No.4035 of 2021, WP(T) No.4077 of 2021, WP(T) No.4108 of 2021, WP(T) No.4968 of 2021, WP(T) No.5429 of 2021, WP(T) No.120 of 2022, WP(T) No.409 of 2022, WP(T) No.411 of 2022, WP(T) No.433 of 2022, WP(T) No.434 of 2022, WP(T) No.435 of 2022, WP(T) No.436 of 2022, WP(T) No.437 of 2022, WP(T) No.447 of 2022, WP(T) No.552 of 2022, WP(T) No.553 of 2022, WP(T) No.554 of 2022 and WP(T) No.555 of 2022

Put up these matters on 20.09.2022 either after the list is exhausted or at 2.15 p.m., whichever is earlier.

-5-

In the meantime, let the writ petitioners in W.P.(T) No.4968 of 2021 file necessary affidavit regarding the concerned association, which has filed two resolutions as well as the decision to contest the matter.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, C.J.)

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

APK/saket