## www.ecourtsindia.com www.ecou

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI W.P. (S) No. 4946 of 2021

Manoj Kumar Dey ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Ors. ... Respondents

-----

## **CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N. PATHAK**

\_\_\_\_\_

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Lala, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Faisal Allam, A.C. to S.C. (Mines)-III

-----

## 04/Dated: 12.08.2024

The petitioner is working since last 25 to 30 years.

A stand has been taken by the learned counsel for the Respondent-State, Mr. Faisal Allam, learned A.C. to S.C. (Mines)-III that petitioner was neither appointed on vacant and sanctioned post nor any advertisement has been floated.

This Court fails to understand as to when the appointment of the petitioner was illegal, why he was allowed to continue on the said post for 25 to 30 years and who were the officers responsible for allowing the portioner to continue for 30 years. Why not the entire payment made to the petitioner be recovered from those officials, who allowed him to continue on the said post and if the petitioner is still continuing, meaning thereby the respondents are in need of their work, then, why the services have not yet been regularised.

Put up this case on 02.09.2024.

(Dr. S. N. Pathak, J.)

APK