

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**Civil Review No. 64 of 2015**

-----

1. State of Jharkhand through Secretary, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Officiating at Project Building, H.E.C. Township, PO & PS-Dhurwa, District-Ranchi
  2. The Secretary, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Officiating at Project Building, H.E.C. Township, PO & PS-Dhurwa, District-Ranchi
  3. The Deputy Secretary, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Officiating at Project Building, H.E.C. Township, PO & PS-Dhurwa, District-Ranchi
  4. The Executive Engineer, Road Division, Road Construction Department, Chaibasa, PO & PS-Chaibasa, District-West Singhbhum
  5. The Assistant Engineer, Road Sub Division, Road Construction Department, PO & PS-Chaibasa, District-West Singhbhum
- .... Petitioners/Respondents

-Versus-

Vijay Singh Gope, S/o late Lodro Gope, R/o RCD Campus, Compound, Chaibasa, PO & PS-Chaibasa, District-West Singhbhum

..... Respondent/Petitioner

-----

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR**

-----

For the Petitioners : Mr. Vikash Kumar, JC to A.A.G.  
For the Respondent : Mr. Suraj Kumar, Adv.

-----

4/25.04.2017            Seeking review of order dated 21.11.2014 passed in W.P.(S) No. 6751 of 2011, the State of Jharkhand has filed this review application.

2.        Specific plea taken by the applicant seeking review of order dated 21.11.2014 is based on the findings recorded by the learned Single Judge to the effect that the petitioner has been working continuously since 17.06.1983. The applicant has pleaded that no record after 1985 is available.

3.        Without referring to the factual matrix of the case, this review application is liable to be dismissed simply for the reason that when, in compliance of order dated 21.11.2014 an order was passed on 08.01.2015, more than three months thereafter this review petition has been filed. This is an after-thought and more so, there is no explanation by the applicant-State disclosing a reason for filing the instant review application after order passed by the learned Single Judge was complied with. In the counter-affidavit filed in the proceeding of W.P.(S) No.6751 of 2011, the only objection

raised on behalf of the respondent-State was that the writ petitioner was not a regular employee. It was not the case pleaded by the applicant-State that the stand of the writ petitioner that he has been continuously working since 1983 was incorrect and contrary to the records maintained by the department.

4. In the aforesaid facts, this civil review is dismissed.

**(Shree Chandrashekhar, J.)**

sudhir