

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Civil Revision No.21 of 2023

1. The Senior Divisional Manager, New India Assurance Co. Ltd., Old Hazaribag Road, Laxminarayan Market, Ranchi, At & P.O. - Ranchi, P.S. - Sadar, District - Ranchi.
2. Branch Manager, The New India Assurance Co. Ltd., Dharamshala Road, Rajdhani Building, Daltonganj, At & P.O. - Daltonganj, P.S. - Daltonganj, District - Palamau.

The Petitioners are represented through its duly authorized signatory namely, Pawan Paswan (aged about 57 years) s/o Sri Ram Chandra Paswan, posted as Manager (Incharge), New India Assurance Co Ltd, T P Hub, P.P compound, P.O. GPO, P.S. Hindpiri, Ranchi. **Petitioners**

Versus

1. Abhay Kumar, s/o - Late Jai Narayan Prasad, resident of Mohalla - Hamidganj, P.O. & P.S. - Daltonganj, District - Palamau.
2. The New India Assurance Co. Ltd., through its Chairman having regd. Office at the New India Assurance Building, 87, M.G. Road, P.O. & P.S. - M.G. Road, Mumbai.
3. General Manager, The New India Assurance Co. Ltd. MG Road, P.O. & P.S. - M.G. Road, Mumbai.
4. Assistant General Manager, The New India Assurance Co. Ltd., 87 M.G. Road, P.O. & P.S. - M.G. Road, Fort Bambai.
5. Chief Vigilance Officer, The New India Assurance Co. Ltd., 87 M.G. Road, P.O. & P.S. - M.G. Road, Fort Bambai.
6. Regional Manager cum Disciplinary Authority, New India Assurance Co. Ltd., P.O. & P.S. - Maharana Pratap Nagar, Marahana Pratap Nagar, Bhopal (M.P.).
7. The Regional Manager cum Disciplinary Authority, New India Assurance Co. Ltd., B.S.F.C Building Frazer Road, P.O. & P.S. - Frazer Road, Patna.

..... **Opp. Parties**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Pratyush Kumar, Advocate
For the O.Ps.	: Mr. Amaresh Kumar, Advocate
	Ms. Arpita Sinha, Advocate

06/Dated: 05th March, 2025

1. Heard the parties.
2. The present civil revision application has been filed

against the order dated 20.02.2023, passed by the court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Division) - III, Palamau in Miscellaneous Case No.3 of 2023, whereby the Title Suit No.90 of 2005 has been restored, which was dismissed for default.

3. It appears that the suit has been filed against the order of dismissal from service by the present revisionists. It further appears that the matter has been dismissed for default and subsequently, it has been restored which has been impugned in the present revision application.

4. The only point which has been taken by the revisionists that no notice has been given to the present revisionists.

5. It is a matter of dismissal which requires consideration on merit.

6. Considering the nature of dispute between the parties and the relief sought for by the plaintiffs, I am not inclined to entertain the present civil revision application accordingly, the same is, hereby, dismissed.

7. I.A. No.6213 of 2023, which has been filed for condonation of delay in filing the present revision application, also stands dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Ravi-Chandan/-