## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI W.P.(T) No. 210 of 2015

Essar Power (Jharkhand) Limited, having its office at Essar Power Complex, Kanchan Nagri, Chandwa, P.O. and P.S. Chandwa, District Latehar through its Executive Director-cum-Authorized Signatory, namely, Durgesh Prakash Sinha, son of Late Lalita Prasad Sinha, resident of Kanchan Nagri, Chandwa, P.O. & P.S. Chandwa, District Latehar-829203 (Jharkhand)

Petitioner

## Versus

- 1. The Union of India, through the Central Board of Excise and Customs, having its office at North Block, P.O. & P.S. North Block, New Delhi-100001.
- 2. Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise and Service Tax Division, having its office at 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Mahaveer Tower, Behind Glitz Cinema, Main Road, Ranchi P.O. G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, Town Ranchi, District Ranchi.
- 3. Axis Bank Limited, through its Director, having its office at Ground Floor, Axis House, Bombay Deying Mills Compound, Pandurang Budhkar Marg, Worli, P.O. & P.S. Worli, Mumbai-400025 (Maharashtra).

.... Respondents

## CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRENDER SINGH, CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE APARESH KUMAR SINGH

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sumeet Gadodia, Advocate For the Respondent Nos.1&2 : Mr. Ratnesh Kumar, Advocate

## 03/ Dated: 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2015 Per Virender Singh, C.J.:

Through the instant petition the petitioner company has thrown challenge to letter/communication No.IV(16)71/ Essar/Tech/RD/14-15 dated 15.01.2015 (Annexure-11) issued by Respondent No.2 (Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise Division, Ranchi) to Respondent No.3 (Axis Bank Limited) which was put on hold by us vide our last order dated

www.ecourtsindia.com www.ecourtsindia.com

21.01.2015 as Mr. Ratnesh Kumar, appearing for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2, wanted to have certain instructions.

2

Mr. Ratnesh Kumar, after getting requisite instructions from the concerned quarter, makes a statement at the Bar that the aforesaid letter/communication impugned herein, has since been withdrawn.

Statement made by Mr. Ratnesh Kumar at the Bar is taken on record.

Mr. Gadodia states that in view of the aforesaid statement made by Mr. Ratnesh Kumar, representing Respondent Nos. 1 and 2, nothing survives in the instant petition to prosecute it any further at this stage at least.

The petition on hand stands disposed of accordingly.

(Virender Singh, C.J.)

(Aparesh Kumar Singh, J.)

Birendra/Amardeep