www.ecourtsindia.com

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI L.P.A. No. 282 of 2023

The State of Jharkhand th	rough the (Chief	Secret	ary ar	nd Ors.
		•••	•••	•••	Appellants
	Versus				
Narendra Kumar Tiwari	& Ors.	•••	•••	Resp	ondents
	With				
L.P	.A. No. 300	of 20	023		
Director General, Sri Kri	ichna Inctit	uto o	f Dubl	lic Ad	ministration
			ı ı ubi	iic Au	mmstration,
Govt. of Jharkhand, Rand	m and Ors.				A alla ta
	T 7		•••	•••	Appellants
A : 11 T/ C: 1 (Versus			т.	1 .
Anirudha Kumar Singh &	& Ors. With	•••	•••	K	espondents
Į P	.A. No. 301	of 20	023		
1.1		. 01 2	020		
Director General, Sri Kri	ishna Instit	ute o	f Publ	lic Ad	ministration.
Govt. of Jharkhand, Rand			1 0.01	1101	
Gove. of fluridital Article	m ana Ois.				Appellants
	Versus		•••	•••	rippenants
Anshuman Kumar & Ors		1			Respondents
Alishuman Kumar & Ors	·		•••	•••	Respondents
L.P	.A. No. 323	of 20	023		
		_			
The State of Jharkhand	_				•
Jharkhand & Ors.		•••	•••	•••	Appellants
	Versus				
Anil Kumar Sinha & Anr	•	•••	•••	•••	Respondents
	TA7*11				
T D	With	- cf 20	022		
L,f	.A. No. 345	01 2	023		
The Chate of Head has det		1-:-60	·		1 0
The State of Jharkhand th	irougn its C	niei S		•	
	**	•••	•••	•••	Appellants
D 1 1 76	Versus				D 1 .
Rakesh Kumar		•••	•••	•••	Respondent
COD A MALIONI/DI E MD	ILICTICE (דידון די	י אוא ד) A 3 / A 1	NI DD A C A D
CORAM:HON'BLE MR HON'BLE MR		_			
HON BLE WIK	, jostice i	AKUI	N IXUI	VIAIN .	KAI
For the Appellants	: Mr. Asho	k K111	nar V	adaw (Sr S C -I
1 of the Appendins					
	: Ms. Surab				
Eastha Danna 1	Mr. Manis				
For the Respondents	: Mr. Indra	ijit 511	nna, A	avoca	ite

: Mr. Arpan Mishra, Advocate : Ms. Aditee Dongrawat, Advocate

Order No. 07/Dated 16th April, 2024

L.P.A. No.300 of 2023

- 1. Mr. Manish Kumar, learned Sr. S.C.-II appearing for the State appellant, has submitted that in course of the day the defect which pertains to deposit of authentication fee of Rs. 10/- will be removed.
- **2.** Let the said defect be removed in course of the day.

I.A. No. 4941 of 2023 in L.P.A. No.300 of 2023

- **3.** With the consent of the parties the issue of limitation has been heard.
- 4. Since on the similar ground 119 days delay has already been condoned by the order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench while passing the order on 03.10.2023 in I.A. No. 7187 of 2023 filed in L.P.A. No. 282 of 2023, hence, there is no opposition on the part of the contesting private respondents in condoning the delay.
- 5. Accordingly, the delay of 119 days in filing the appeal is hereby condoned.
- **6.** I.A. No. 4941 of 2023 stands allowed.

I.A. No. 3624 of 2024 in L.P.A. No. 301 of 2023

- 7. This interlocutory application has been preferred under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condoning the delay of 283 days in preferring this Letters Patent Appeal.
- 8. Heard learned counsel for the appellant.
- 9. Having regard to the averments made in the application and submissions made on behalf of the appellant, we are of the view that the State appellant was prevented from sufficient cause

in filing the appeal within the period of limitation. As such, the delay of 283 days in preferring the appeal is hereby condoned.

10. I.A. No. 3624 of 2024 stands allowed.

L.P.A. No. 323 of 2023

Mr. Ashok Kumar Yadav, learned Sr. S.C.-I appearing for the State appellant has submitted that all the defects will be removed by Monday, i.e., 22.04.2024,.

Let him do so.

L.P.A. No.345 of 2023

11. Mr. Ashok Kumar Yadav, learned Sr. S.C.-I appearing for the State appellant, has submitted that the defects pointed out by the office will be removed by Monday next, i.e., 22.04.2024.

Let the defects be removed.

L.P.A. No. 282 of 2023, L.P.A. No. 300 of 2023, L.P.A. No. 301 of 2023, L.P.A. No. 323 of 2023 and L.P.A. No. 345 of 2023.

12. All these matters be listed 29th April, 2024.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

Umesh-Suman/-