

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
L.P.A. No. 206 of 2013

The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary,
Department of Finance **Appellant**

Versus

Dhanpat Pandey & Ors. **Respondents**

With

L.P.A. No. 178 of 2013

The State of Jharkhand & Anr. **Appellants**

Versus

Bachoo Singh & Ors. **Respondents**

With

L.P.A. No. 180 of 2013

State of Jharkhand & Ors. **Appellants**

Versus

Balkrishna Dubey & Anr. **Respondents**

With

L.P.A. No. 704 of 2018

Sahdeo Prasad **Appellants**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through Secretary Finance & Ors.
... .. **Respondents**

With

L.P.A. No. 263 of 2020

State of Jharkhand & Ors. **Appellants**

Versus

Anant Pandey
... .. **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

For the Appellant : Mrs. Darshana Poddar Mishra, AAG-I
(In L.P.A. No. 178 of 2013)

For the Respondents : Mr. Bhaiya Bishwajeet Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Prasad, Adv.
(In L.P.A. No. 178 of 2013)
Mr. S. Shrivastava, Adv.
(In L.P.A. No. 180 of 2013)

47/16.01.2025

I.A. No. 13518 of 2024

In

L.P.A. No. 178 of 2013

Heard Mrs. Darshana Poddar Mishra, learned
AAG-I for the appellant and Mr. Bhaiya Bishwajeet Kumar,
learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

This interlocutory application has been preferred
by the appellant for substituting the respondent no. 1 by

his legal heir as it has been stated that the respondent no. 1 has died on 08.06.2022 and is survived by his wife.

However, Mr. Bhaiya Bishwajeet Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the respondent no. 1 submits that he has information that the children of the respondent no. 1 are also the surviving heirs. It has also been submitted by Mr. Bhaiya Bishwajeet Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent no. 1 that he has no contact with the family of the respondent no. 1 and on consideration of the averments made in the instant application the same is allowed and the respondent no. 1 is substituted by his wife as mentioned in para 5 of the instant application.

Let notice be issued to the substituted heir of the respondent no. 1 both under registered cover with A/D as well as under ordinary process, for which, requisite etc. be filed within a period of two weeks.

If on appearance of the wife of the respondent no. 1 as indicated above it comes to the knowledge that there are other surviving heirs of the deceased-respondent no. 1 further steps be taken by the learned counsel for the appellant for getting them substitution.

Let this case be listed on 28th February, 2025 along with the other analogous cases.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

A. Sanga/-