

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
ABA No. 4058 of 2022

Amit Goswami

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand

2. Voomika Mukherjee

.... Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GAUTAM KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sharad Kaushal, Advocate

For the State : Mr. S.K. Srivastava, A.P.P.

For the Informant : Mr. Vikash Pandey, Advocate

Oral Order

03/Dated: 27.07.2022

The anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, Amit Goswami, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bariatu PS Case No. 347 of 2021 for the offence registered under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the Court of learned J.M., Ranchi is pressed into motion.

Heard the parties.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there is general and omnibus allegation leveled against in-laws subjected her to cruelty but the date, time and place of occurrence has not been mentioned in the FIR. With regard to the unlawful demand, it is submitted that never any demand was made and as far as the washing machine is concerned, the petitioner had sold the old washing machine purchased the new one.

The learned APP as well as the learned counsel for the informant has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Considering the submissions of the learned counsels and the facts as discussed above, the anticipatory bail application is allowed. Hence, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of two weeks from the date of this order, the petitioner named above shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438 (2) Cr. P.C.

The petitioner will cooperate in the investigation and will appear on notice under Section 41A of Cr.P.C and comply with the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Gautam Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Madhav/-