## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI W.P.(C) No. 2564 of 2008

- 1. Ganga Sonar
- 2. Jugal Sonar
- 3. Satish Sonar
- 4. Rajesh Sonar
- 5. Naresh Sonar
- 6. Bindeshwar Sonar
- 7. Lakhan Sonar
- 8. Ratho Sonar, all residents of Village Chandaur P.O. Tetulmari, District Dhanbad all represented through Sri Chandra Mohan Sonar son of Late Arjun Sonar, resident of Village Bastakola, P.O. Dhansar, P.S. Jharia, District Dhanbad being their Power of Attorney holder

Petitioners

## Versus

- 1. Bharat Coking Coal Limited through their Chairman cum Managing Director, Koyla Bhawan, Dhanbad
- 2. General Manager, Sijua Colliery Area No. 5, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, P.O. Sijua, District Dhanbad
- 3. Union of India through Ministry of Energy, Department of Coal, New Delhi Respondents

## : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY **CORAM**

For the Petitioners : Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate For the Resp. Nos. 1 & 2 : Ms. Suchitra Pandey, Advocate

08/14.06.2019 Heard Mr. Manish Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners and Ms. Suchitra Pandey, learned counsel appearing for the respondent nos. 1 and 2.

> The prayer in this writ application is for a direction upon the respondents to make payment of subsistence allowance to the petitioners in terms of rehabilitation package approved by the Government of India vide circular dated 19.10.1990.

> In the counter affidavit it has been specifically stated by the respondent nos. 1 and 2 that the recommendation dated 19.10.1990 which forms the back bone of the contention advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioners had never been implemented by the Bharat Coking Coal Limited as they have their own policy.

> Since the basis of the prayer made in this writ application is the circular dated 19.10.1990 which was never adopted by the respondent nos. 1 and 2, the claim of the petitioners cannot be entertained by this Court. Accordingly, in view of the above this writ applications stands disposed of.

> > (Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

Umesh/-