## ounsingla.com

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI B.A. No. 3909 of 2020

Rajiv Mahato @ Rajib Mahato ... ... **Petitioner** 

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... ... **Opposite Party** 

## CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY <u>Through- Video Conferencing</u>

-----

For the Petitioner : Mr. R.C.P. Sah, Advocate For the State : Md. Azeemuddin, A.P.P.

-----

02/10.07.2020 Heard Mr. R.C.P. Sah, learned counsel for the petitioner and Md. Azeemuddin, learned A.P.P. for the State.

So far as defect no. 5(e) is concerned learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the same once the situation normalizes. As regards the rest defects are concerned the same are ignored.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner was earlier rejected in B.A. No. 2129/2019.

Save and except the period of custody undergone by the petitioner no other ground has been taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the nature of allegations levelled and considering the fact that there is no appropriate ground for reconsideration of the prayer for bail of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail. The same is hereby rejected.

(R. Mukhopadhyay, J.)

Alok/-