

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W. P. (S) No. 6402 of 2013

...

Shikha MandalPetitioner

-V e r s u s-

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Secretary to the Government of Jharkhand,
Social Welfare and Child Development Department, Ranchi
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Jamtara
4. The District Social Welfare Officer, Jamtara
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Jamtara
6. The Child Development Project Officer,
Kundahit Block, Jamtara
7. Shampa GhoshRespondents

...

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE APARESH KUMAR SINGH

...

For the Petitioner : - Mr. Durga C. Mishra, Adv.
For the Respondent-State :- JC to SC-I

....

02/28.04.2015 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner seeks to challenge the selection to the respondent no. 7 for the post of Aaganbari Sevika for the Centre, Saluka under Jamtara district undertaken by the Aam Sabha on 20.08.2013.

The petitioner alleges that the selection of respondent no. 7 was not in conformity with the laid down guidelines under the circular of the respondent- Social Welfare and Child Development Department. Aam Sabha was held within 7 days of notice and 30 members of the beneficiary family ought to have signed the minutes of the said meeting of the Aam Sabha. However, the very circular upon which, the petitioner has based his challenge to the selection of the respondent no. 7, is not on record. It, however, appears that in the Aam Sabha held on the said date, the person having the higher qualification amongst backward class candidates, who are in the majority, has been selected.

It is being observed by parting reference that the typed copy of the minutes of the Aam Sabha meeting furnished by the petitioner and certified to be true, are wholly incoherent specifically in respect to the candidate, who has been selected. One fails to understand that if the original document is not legible or is in handwriting, why such a perfunctory exercise of furnishing typed copy has been done as the typed copy itself does not appear to have resemblance with the original

document.

In any case after considering the submission of the petitioner, this Court is inhibited from determining the issue on ground raised for challenging the selection of the respondent no. 7 as no circular is on record in the writ petition nor it has been produced at the time of hearing. The writ petition, therefore, appears to suffer from absence of supporting document as well. In such circumstances, I am not inclined to interfere in the writ petition, which is, accordingly, dismissed.

Kamlesh/

(Aparesh Kumar Singh, J.)