IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

ON THE 19th DAY OF APRIL, 2022 BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK SINGH THAKUR CRIMINAL MISSC.PETITION (MAIN)U/S482 CRPC No. 630 of 2021 BETWEEN:-

- 1. VIPIN PUROLHIT ALIAS TINKU SON OF SHRI HEMANT KUMAR
- 2. SMT. KUSUM LATA W/O SHRI HEMANT KUMAR

ALL RESIDENTS OF HOUSE NO. 80/09, BHAGWAHAN STREET, MANDI TOWN, DISTRICT MANDI, H.P.

PETITIONERS

(BY MR. ISHAN SHARMA, ADVOCATE)

AND

REENA SHARMA,W/O SHRI VIPIN PUROHIT, RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO.80/09, BHAGWAHAN STREET, MANDI TOWN, DISTT.MANDI, H.P. PRESENTLY RESIDING AT HER PARENTAL HOUSE VILLAGE LOWER BIJNI, TEHSIL SADAR, DISTT. MANDI, H.P.

...RESPONDENT

(BY MS.AMITA CHANDEL, ADVOCATE, VICE MS. ANAIDA KUTHIALA, ADVOCATE Whether approved for reporting?

This petition coming on for admission this day, the Court passed the following:

ORDER

This petition is offshoot of complaint No. 214-II/ 16/15, titled as Reena Sharma vs. Vipin Purohit, pending adjudication in the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Court No. IV Mandi, District Mandi, H.P.

2. Petitioner No.1 Vipin Purohit and respondent Reena Sharma were husband and wife, who have agreed to dissolve their marriage in terms of compromise arrived at between them in FAO No. 5 of 2019, titled as Reena Sharma versus Vipin Purohit and in terms of compromise, they have also agreed to withdraw the cases filed against

2

each other or other family members. In terms of compromise, FAO No. 5

of 2019 has been disposed of on 8.4.2022 by Division Bench of this Court.

3. In terms of aforesaid compromise, above referred complaint No. 214-II/16/15 is also to be withdrawn by the complainant and, therefore, petitioners seek permission to withdraw this petition also.

4. In view of aforesaid facts and circumstances, present petition is dismissed as withdrawn in terms of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Pending application(s), if any also stand disposed of.

April 19, 2022 (veena) (Vivek Singh Thakur), Judge.