## IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CWP(T) No.: 9360 of 2008.

Decided on: 16.12.2010.

Dr. Vinaya Kumar Sharma.

... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and another.

... Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, J.

Whether approved for reporting?<sup>1</sup>

For the Petitioner : Mr. Surender Thakur, Advocate vice

Mr. P.P. Chauhan, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. P.M. Negi, Deputy Advocate

General with Mr. R.P. Singh, Assistant

Advocate General.

Rajiv Sharma, J. (oral):

According to the reply filed by the respondents, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed. Consequently, the present petition is rendered infructuous and the same is dismissed having become infructuous, so also the pending application(s), if any. However, if the petitioner still has any grievance, he can make a representation to the competent authority. No costs.

(Rajiv Sharma), Judge.

**December 16, 2010.** (sck).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?