

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.**

**CWP No. 4544 of 2014  
Decided on: 10.7.2014**

Dagu Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & another.

....Respondents.

---

***Coram***

***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.***

***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.S. Rana, Judge.***

***Whether approved for reporting or not? No***

For the petitioner : Naresh Verma Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General  
with B.S. Parmar Additional Advocate  
General and Mr. Vikram Thakur,  
Deputy Advocate General.

-----

**Sanjay Karol, Judge** (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioner shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which his case is covered by the decision rendered by this Court in ***Hira Lal*** versus ***State of H.P. and another, CWP No. 319 of 1997***, decided on ***17.6.2008***.

2. No other point is urged.

3. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioner to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording

adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner. Needless to add, if the order is not in favour of the petitioner, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

4. With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also the pending application(s), if any.

**(Sanjay Karol)**  
**Judge**

10<sup>th</sup> July, 2014  
(kck)

**(P.S. Rana)**  
**Judge**