IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CMPMO No.286 of 2015

Date of Decision: April 6, 2016

Shri Sanjeev Pandit

...Petitioner.

versus

Smt. Manorma Sharma

...Respondent.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? No

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajeev Sood, Advocate.

For the Respondent : Mr. Sunil Chauhan, Advocate.

Sanjay Karol, Judge(Oral)

It is heartening to note that with the intervention of the learned counsel for the parties as also Mr. N.K. Sood, Senior Advocate, who was requested by the Court to mediate, parties have arrived at an out of Court settlement. Efforts put in by the learned counsel as well as by the learned Mediator are highly appreciable.

2. Terms and conditions of the settlement/ compromise stand incorporated by the learned Mediator separately, which stand admitted by appellant Shri Sanjeev Pandit and respondent Smt. Manorma Sharma.

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

...2...

Even before this Court, parties, through their learned counsel, undertake to abide by the terms of the compromise.

- 3. In my considered view the compromise is in accordance with law. There is no legal impediment in the same. It is also in the interest of the parties and justice.
- 4. As such, the present petition is allowed, as conditions of the terms and the per settlement/compromise, as annexed with the report of the learned Mediator. Impugned order dated 12.5.2015, passed by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Court No.7, in main case No.9-1 of 2013, titled as Manorma Sharma vs. Sanjeev Pandit, stands modified accordingly. The terms of the compromise shall form part of the order. ΑII proceedings pending inter se the parties stand disposed of accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

April 6, 2016(ks)

(Sanjay Karol), Judge.