IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CWP No. 6174 of 2012-H.

Decided on August 2, 2012.

- 1. Shri Dil Bahadur son of Shri Kishan Bahadur, resident of Village Shishmamati, Tehsil and District Kullu, H.P. presently serving as a Mason in HPPWD Division Kullu, H.P.
- 2. Shri Nand Lal son of Sh. Chander Bahadur, resident of and P.O. Kullu, Tehsil and District Kullu, H.P. presently serving as a Beldar in HPPWD Division Kullu, H.P.
- 3. Sh. Ram Bahadur son of shri Bamb Bahadur, resident of Village and P.O. Raison, Tehsil and District Kullu, H.P. presently serving as a Beldar in HPPWD Division Kullu, H.P.
- 4. Smt.Anjali wife of Shri Ram Bahadur, resident of Village and P.O. Raison, Tehsil and District Kullu, H.P. presently serving as a Beldar in HPPWD Division Kullu, H.P.
- 5. Smt. Bimla Devi wife of Shri Durba, Village Swani, P.O. Kullu, Tehsil and District Kullu, H.P. presently serving as a Beldar in HPPWD Division Kullu, H.P.
- 6. Shri Mani Ram son of Shri Bhagatbir, resident of and P.O. Kullul, Tehsil and District Kullu, H.P. presently serving as a Beldar in HPPWD Division Kullu, H.P.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

- 1. The State of H.P. through Principal Secretary (HPPWD) with Headquarter at Shimla, H.P.
- 2. The Engineer-in-Chief, HPPWD, Nirman Bhawan, Shimla-2.
- 3. The Executive Engineer, HPPWD, Kullu, District Kullu, H.P.

.....Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kurian Joseph, Chief Justice The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

For the Petitioners : Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. R.K. Bawa, AG with Mr. Ankush

Dass Sood, Addl. Advocate General.

Justice Kurian Joseph, C.J. (Oral)

The petitioners are Nepali citizens. This Court has already disposed of the cases of Nepali citizens in the judgment dated 27.4.2012 rendered in *CWP No. 9441 of 2011, Shiv Kumari* versus *State of H.P.* and other connected matters. Therefore, there will be a direction to the second respondent to extend a similar treatment to the petitioners herein also, in case the petitioners are also similarly situated. The needful shall be done within a period of three months from the date of production of a copy of this judgment along with copy of the writ petition and copy of the judgment, referred to above, by the petitioner concerned before the second respondent. The eligible benefits, if any, to which the petitioners are found entitled, shall be disbursed to them within another one month.

2. The writ petition is disposed of, so also the pending applications, if any.

(Justice Kurian Joseph), Chief Justice.

August 2, 2012. (naveen/ps)

(Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary), Judge.