

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 4 OF 2013

The Principal District and Sessions Judge
Beed

...Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

...Respondents

.....
Mr. R.D. Sanap, advocate for the petitioner (appointed)
Mr. K.G. Patil, A.P.P. for respondent Nos. 1 and 2
.....

**CORAM : K. U. CHANDIWAL AND
A. I. S. CHEEMA, JJ.
DATED : 4th SEPTEMBER, 2013**

PER COURT :-

1. Heard.

2. Respondent No.3, alleged contemnor, Mr. Aniskhan Pathan is present. He has to engage advocate to file response. Learned A.P.P. also desires to file response on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Copy of such response to be furnished to Mr. Sanap well in advance. S.O. to 19.9.2013.

(A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.)

(K.U. CHANDIWAL, J.)

rlj/

