

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 2 OF 2013

The Principal District and Sessions Judge  
Beed

...Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

...Respondents

.....  
Mr. R.D. Sanap, advocate for the petitioner (appointed)  
Mrs. R.K. Ladda, A.P.P. for respondent Nos. 1 and 2  
Mr. N.R. Shaikh, advocate for respondent No.3  
Mr. N.B. Khandare, advocate for respondent No.4  
.....

**CORAM : K. U. CHANDIWAL AND  
A. I. S. CHEEMA, JJ.  
DATED : 4<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2013**

**PER COURT :-**

1. Heard.

2. By order of this court dated 24.6.2013, notice in the form I appended to Chapter XXXIV of Bombay High Court, Appellate Side Rules 1960 was directed against Dnyanoba Ramrao Shep, retired Assistant Police Inspector, R/o. Shepwadi, Taluka Ambejogai, District Beed. Pursuant thereto, he appeared through the advocate and has even filed affidavit in reply. Virtually, the order to implead him as respondent is left to be recorded. Consequently, said Dnyanoba

Ramrao Shep be arrayed as respondent No.4. Respondent No.4 present. He accepts service. Mr. Khandare has already filed power. The said respondent has already received papers/annexures.

3. Submissions completed. Mr. Khandare for respondent No.4 desires to tender additional affidavit to explain his stand and also to incorporate unconditional apology for the events that has transpired on 13.2.2013. S.O. to 6.9.2013.

**(A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.)**

**( K.U. CHANDIWAL, J. )**

rlj/