

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABD**

**FRIDAY ,THE SECOND DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. BHASKAR REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1055 OF 2025

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 to punish the respondents herein for willful disobedience and for violation of the order dated 04.07.2024 in W.P.No.20593 of 2021.

Between:

Sri.J.Ram Reddy, S/o.Late.J.Chitta Reddy Aged about 67 years, Occ
Business, R/o.H.No.1-10-309, C.R.Colony, New Bowenpally, Secunderabad-
11.

...PETITIONER

AND

Mr Madhukar Naik, The Chief Executive Officer, Secunderabad Cantonment
Board, Secunderabad

...CONTEMNORS

Counsel for the Petitioner :Sri. Srinivas Velagapudi

Counsel for the Respondent: K.R. Koteswara Rao

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.BHASKAR REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE No.1055 of 2025

ORDER:

This Contempt Case is filed alleging violation of the order, dated 04.07.2024 passed in W.P.No.20593 of 2021.

2. This Court, by an order, dated 04.07.2024 while disposing of the said Writ Petition directed respondent Nos.2 and 3 therein to proceed with the construction after obtaining necessary permission and if deviation of the said constructions are not permissible for regularization, respondent No.1 therein was directed to examine and consider the representations, dated 19.07.2021 and 30.07.2021 submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders after hearing the petitioner as well as respondent Nos.2 and 3 therein as per the provisions of the Cantonment Act, 2006 and in accordance with law.

3. Sri K.R.Koteswara Rao, learned counsel appearing for the respondent herein has submitted that in terms of the orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.20593 of 2021 respondent had examined the representations, dated 19.07.2021 and 30.07.2021 and passed a reasoned order and communicated the same to the petitioner.

4. In view of the above submission and since it is stated that the respondent had already passed an order, the validity or otherwise of the merits of the order cannot be examined in the contempt proceedings. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the said rejection order, he is at liberty to avail the remedies as available under law.

5. Accordingly, this Contempt Case is closed. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- A.V.S. PRASAD
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. SRINIVAS VELAGAPUDI Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI. Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

PA


GMN

HIGH COURT

DATED:02/05/2025

ORDER

CC.No.1055 of 2025



**THE CONTEMPT CASE
IS CLOSED**

⑤ PA
23/6/25