IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI ANIL R. DAVE AND THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.26277 OF 2009

Dt.03.12.2009

Between:

Daryl Duckworth ... Petitioner

And

The Chief Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad and others

... Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri Ch. Venkata Raman

Counsel for Respondent No.1: G.P. for General Administration

Counsel for Respondent Nos.2, 5 and 6: G.P. for Home

Counsel for Respondent Nos.3 and 4: Sri O. Manohar Reddy

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI ANIL R. DAVE THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.26277 OF 2009

ORDER: (per the Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy)

This Writ Petition is filed in the name of Public Interest Litigation by the petitioner, who claims to be in "hospitality service". affidavit, the petitioner averred that he along with some other retired employees is trying to enlighten the general uneducated public about the developmental programmes introduced by the Government and they are also trying to find out irregularities committed by the government officials in discharging their duties. The petitioner has not given any details of the so called developmental programmes with reference to which he along with others is creating awareness. The petitioner has also not indicated the names of the other retired employees, who are associated with him. As the details given by the petitioner are owefully inadequate to establish his credentials as a pubic spirited citizen, we are not inclined to entertain this Writ Petition in the garb of public interest.

However, at the hearing, we have called upon Sri O. Manohar Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for Andhra Pradesh Central Power Distribution Corporation Limited (APCPDCL), South Zone, Hyderabad, to submit on the allegations made against respondent No.5 with reference to the charges. The learned Standing Counsel submitted that the APCPDCL has looked into the alleged irregularities and has found that except that for a short period the meter was defective, there was no suppression of energy consumed by respondent No.5 and that no irregularities were committed by the said respondent.

v.ecourtsındıa.com

Having been satisfied with the explanation of the learned Standing Counsel for APCPDCL and since the petitioner failed to satisfy his locus to maintain this Writ Petition, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

As a sequel to dismissal of the Writ Petition, W.P.M.P. No.34197 of 2009, filed by the petitioner for interim relief, is also dismissed.

ANIL R. DAVE, CJ

C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

03.12.2009

bnr