

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD****R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 5753 of 2020****With****R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 5776 of 2020****With****R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 5633 of 2020**

=====

BHANWARLAL VASUDEV MAHESHWARI OWNER OF SIDDHI  
VINAYAK CORPORATION

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR ASHISH M DAGLI(2203) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

NOTICE UNSERVED(8) for the Respondent(s) No. 2

MS MAITHILI MEHTA APP (2) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE A. P. THAKER**

**Date : 07/08/2020**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Mr.Dagli, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms.Mehta, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent – State through Video Conferencing.

On earlier occasion i.e. on 20.07.2020, the Court has issued fresh notice to respondent No.2. Registry has placed the note submitting that the notice could not be served upon respondent No.2 as he has been transferred to Rajkot.

Now, on perusal of the complaint, it appears that respondent No.2 has filed the complaint on behalf of the State and not in his personal capacity.

At this stage, Ms.Mehta, learned Additional Public Prosecutor seeks time to take necessary instructions in this regard. At her request, the matter is adjourned to **21<sup>st</sup> August 2020**.

VR PANCHAL / SALIM

**(A. P. THAKER, J)**