

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4173 of 2019****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6486 of 2019****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6487 of 2019****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6488 of 2019****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6489 of 2019****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6490 of 2019****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6491 of 2019****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6492 of 2019****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7408 of 2019****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7409 of 2019**

=====

**RASIKKUMAR LALJI PATEL****Versus****PROJECT MANAGER & ORS.**

=====

**Appearance:****MR P C CHAUDHARI(5770) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1****M R BHATT & CO.(5953) for the Respondent(s) No. 1****MUNJAAL M BHATT(8283) for the Respondent(s) No. 1****NOTICE SERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 3****NOTICE UNSERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 2**

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT M. PRACHCHAK****Date : 24/06/2024****COMMON ORAL ORDER**

In the present group of petitions, the petitioners who are the employees of the respondent No.1 – originally worked with

the respondent No.1, were sent to the project enunciated by the respondent No.2 undertaken with the help of some foreign investors and thereafter, their service came to be ended/renewed since the project was closed for the first phase and thereafter, the second phase of work was undertaken by some other Trustee who was in-charge of the same and the petitioners were supposed to join with the said Trustee for the second phase of work and therefore, the question is required to be dealt with by this Court in detail while examining the issue involved in the present group of petitions.

Hence, **Rule.**

Learned advocate Mr.Munjal Bhatt waives service of notice of rule for and on behalf of the respondent No.1.

**(HEMANT M. PRACHCHHAK,J)**

Dolly