

GAHC040011442021



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : IA(C) 213/2022**

1: The Chief Managing Director and Anr.  
National Hydroelectric Power Corporation Limited (NHPC Ltd.)  
(A Govt. of India Enterprise)  
NHPC Office Complex  
Sector 33  
Faridabad 121003

2: The Executive Director  
NHPC  
Roing  
PO/PS Roing  
Lower Dibang Valley District  
Roing  
Arunachal Pradesh.  
VERSUS

1: Tunku Pulu and 8 Ors.  
Permanent Resident of Ezengo  
Roing  
District Lower Dibang Valley  
Arunachal Pradesh.

2: Mitte Linggi  
Permanent Resident of Ezengo  
Roing  
District Lower Dibang Valley  
Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Ere Linggi  
Permanent Resident of Ezengo  
Roing  
District Lower Dibang Valley  
Arunachal Pradesh.

4:Ayu Misaya  
Permanent Resident of Bhismaknagar  
Koronu Circle  
District Lower Dibang Valley  
Arunachal Pradesh.

5:Angijo Pulu  
Permanent Resident of Bhismaknagar  
Koronu Circle  
Lower Dibang Valley  
Arunachal Pradesh.

6:Mangu Mimi  
Permanent Resident of Bhismaknagar  
Koronu Circle  
Lower Dibang Valley District  
Arunachal Pradesh.

7:Pachu Pulu  
Permanent Resident of Bhismaknagar  
Koronu Circle  
Lower Dibang Valley District  
Arunachal Pradesh.

8:The Land Acquisition Collector/Deputy Commissioner  
Roing  
PO/PS Roing  
Dibang Valley District  
Roing  
Arunachal Pradesh.

9:The Secretary  
Land Management  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar.

Advocate for the Petitioner : Kamal Saxena  
Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

**BEFORE**  
**HONBLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN**

**ORDER**

**06.06.2023**

Heard Mr. P.K. Tiwari, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the applicant; Mr. R. Saikia, learned counsel for the private respondent Nos. 1 – 7; Mr. S. Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh for the respondent No. 8; and Mr. D. Kamduk, learned counsel for the respondent No. 9.

Mr. P.K. Tiwari, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that he may be allowed 2 [two] weeks' time to enable him to file the reply to the affidavit-in-opposition filed by the learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 – 7.

Mr. D. Kamduk, learned counsel for the respondent No. 9 submits that he may also be allowed 2 [two] weeks' time to enable him to file the affidavit-in-opposition.

The prayers are allowed.

List the matter on 23.06.2023.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**