

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH::AMARAVATI (Special Original Jurisdiction)

# THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

#### **PRESENT**



# THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 14991 OF 2016

### Between:

J. Venkateswara Rao, S/o. Nagulu, aged about 56 years, T. Narasapuram Village &Mandal, West Godavari District.

...PETITIONER

#### AND

- The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal, Secretary (Home), Secretariat, Hyderabad.
- 2. The Circle-Imipector of Police, Chintalapudi Police Station, Chintalapudi. West Godavari District.
- 3. K. Nagaraju, S/o. K.Punnayya, aged about 40 years, T.Narasapuram Village & Mandal, West Godavari District.

## ...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to Issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in

www.ecourtsindia.com



harassing the petitioner by interfering with the civil disputes is illegal, arbitrary and violation of Article 14, 21 & 300A of constitution of India.

# I.A. NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP. NO: 18617 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent not to interfere with the Civil disputes between the petitioner and 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI S.APPADHARA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 & 2: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: NONE

The Court made the following: ORDER

[3310]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

### **PRESENT**

# THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

**WRIT PETITION NO: 14991/2016** 

Between:

J. Venkateswara Rao,

...PETITIONER

#### AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh Rep By Its Principal and ...RESPONDENT(S)
Others

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. S APPADHARA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

The Court made the following:

Today when the matter is taken up for hearing, none appeared for the petitioner. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents is present.

The respondent No.2 has filed the counter-affidavit in this matter.Learned Assistant Government Pleader reported no instructions.

www.ecourtsindia

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

w.ecourtsindia.com

- 3. On verifying the proceeding sheet, it is observed that, on 28.04.2016, it was stated that there shall be a direction to the police-authorities not to interfere in any civil disputes between the petitioner and the un-official respondent or pressurize settlement thereof.
- 4. In view of the interim orders, this Court feels that, no orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition and hence the same is liable to be closed.
- 5. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed. No order as to costs. As a sequel, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Sd/- P.U.V. BHASKARA RAO ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

- 1. One CC to SRI S.APPADHARA REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
- 2. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
- 3. Two C.D Copies MBT

**HIGH COURT** 

DATED:21/03/2024

ORDER WP.No.14991 of 2016



CLOSING THE W.P
WITHOUT COSTS