

APHC010848052016



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3310]

FRIDAY ,THE NINETEENTH DAY OF APRIL  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 29186/2016**

**Between:**

Mr.k.kabeer Saheb

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

State Of Ap Prl Scy Home Hyd 4 and Others

**...RESPONDENT(S)**

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1.T LAKSHMI NARAYANA

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

2.15516/GP FOR HOME (AP)

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER:**

The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,  
seeking the following relief:

*“.....declaring that the action of the respondents No.3 & 4 in interfering with the life, liberty and privacy of the petitioner under the guise of the settling the civil disputes between the 3<sup>rd</sup> parties and the respondent No.5 which is unconnected to the petitioner as illegal, Arbitrary and violative of Article 14, 19 (1)(d) and 21 of Constitution of India apart from being violative of principles of natural justice and pass such other order or orders.....”*

2. Heard Mr.Ashok Kondeti, learned counsel, representing on behalf of Mr.T.Lakshminarayana, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, appearing for the respondents 1 to 3.

3. On 30.08.2016, when the writ petition came up for admission, this Court granted interim order directing the respondents 3 and 4 not to interfere with the life and liberty of the petitioner, except in accordance with law.

4. The 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent filed counter and stated that the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent lodged a report with the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent against the petitioner, basing on the said complaint, the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent made phone calls to the petitioner as well as 5<sup>th</sup> respondent asking them to appear before him for the purpose of enquiry, on enquiry, it revealed that there was a civil dispute between the petitioner and the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent, as such, the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent advised both the petitioner and 5<sup>th</sup> respondent to approach the competent civil Court for redressal, except that, the respondents 3 and 5 have not interfered with the life and liberty of the petitioner.

5. So, in view of the above submissions and in view of the interim order passed by this Court, the respondent-police are directed not to interfere with the life and liberty of the petitioner. If at all, any serious complaints are there, the respondent-police are directed to follow the due process of law.

6. With the above observation, the Writ Petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**DR. K. MANMADHA RAO, J.**

*ARR*

**HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE K.MANMADHA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION NO.29186 OF 2016**

**Date: 19.04.2024**

*ARR*