IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B V L N CHAKRAVARTHI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3056 OF 2016

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, aggrieved by the Order dt.03-03-2016 in I.A.No.898/2015 in A.S.No.7/2012 on the file of the Senior Civil Judge, Yellamanchili, Visakhapatnam District.

BETWEEN:

Challapalli Krishna Murthy, S/o.Satyam Dora, Hindu, aged about 73 years, Cultivation, R/o. Nimmakayala Kothapalli Village, Arnalapuram Mandal, East Godavari District, Presently residing at Sarvasiddi Village, S.Rayavaram Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.

...Petitioner/Respondent No.1

AND

- 1. Chellapalli Veera Venkata Satyanarayana, S/o. Late Somulu, Dora, Hindu, aged about 66 years, Cultivation, R/o. Sarvasiddi village, S.Rayavaram Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.
- 2. Challapalli Narayana Murthy, S/o. Venkayya Dora, Hindu, aged about 59 years, Coolie, R/o. Sarvasiddi village, S.Rayavaram Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.
- 3. Challapalli Kondayya Dora (died),
- 4. Challapalli Satyanarayana, S/o. Kondayya Dora @ Kondayya, Hindu, aged about 44 years, R/o. Sarvasiddi village, S.Rayavaram Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.

...Respondents/Respondents 2 to 4

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2016 (CRP.MP. NO: 3902 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in A.S.7/2012 on the file of the Senior Civil Judge, Yellamanchili, Visakhapatnam District.

Counsel for the Petitioner(s):-SRI. M S R SUBRAHMANYAM, ADVOCATE. Counsel for the Respondents:- V. SESHA KUMARI, ADVOCATE.

The Court made the following ORDER:-

V

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.V.L.N.CHAKRAVARTHI <u>CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO.3056 of 2016</u>

ORDER:

Sri M.Ram Mohan, learned counsel representing Sri M.S.R. Subrahmanyam, learned counsel for revision-petitioner would submit that the revision become infructuous and requested the Court to dismiss the revision-petition.

- 2. Heard the learned counsel representing for revision-petitioner.
- 3. In view of representation made by the learned counsel for revision-petitioner, the CRP is liable to be dismissed as infructuous.
- 4. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is 'Dismissed as infructuous'. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- A VIJAYA BABU ASSISTANT REGISTRAR SECTION OFFICER

To,

- 1. The Senior Civil Judge, Yellamanchili, Visakhapatnam, District.
- 2. One CC to Sri. M.S.R. Subrahmanyam, Advocate [OPUC]
- 3. One CC to Sri. V. Sesha Kumari, Advocate [OPUC]
- 4. The Section Officer, V.R Section, High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravati.
- 5. Two CD Copies.

VNA

hearth

HIGH COURT


DATED:24/04/2023

ORDER

CRP.NO.3056 OF 2016

9/15 17/8/23.

DISMISSING THE CRP AS INFRUCTUOUS



SSL