



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3333]

TUESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY-FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

WRIT PETITION NO: 22307/2016

Between:

Sri S. Khadar Basha

...PETITIONER

AND

Yogi Vemana University and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.M A K MUKHEED

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.TENEPALLI NIRANJAN (SC FOR Y V UNIVERSITY))

2.TENEPALLI NIRANJAN (SC FOR Y V UNIVERSITY))

3.TENEPALLI NIRANJAN (SC FOR Y V UNIVERSITY))

The Court made the following:

ORDER:-

This writ petition came to be filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following relief:-

“....to issue any writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring action of the respondent No.3 in issuing termination letter No.YUV/Engg.Section/Notice for Termination/2016-17, dated 06-07-2016, terminating from Running the University Canteen existing in Yogi Vemana University campus, Kadapa; is illegal, arbitrary, contrary to the agreement executed by the respondent and in violation of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India besides in violation of principles of natural justice and consequently allow the petitioner to Run the University Canteen existing in Yogi Vemana University. campus, Kadapa, by setting aside the termination letter No.YIN/Engg Section/Notice for Termination/2016-17, dated 06-07-2016 issued by 3rd respondent and to pass...”

2. Heard Sri T. Niranjan, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents. There is no representation for the petitioner.

3. As the present writ petition is filed to declare the termination letter issued by the 3rd respondent *vide* No.YUV/Engg.Section/Notice for Termination/2016-17 dated 06.07.2016, from running the university canteen existing in respondents' University campus, Kadapa and in view of the fact that the petitioner could not secure any interim order in the present case, this Court is of the opinion that the cause does not survive anymore.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is *dismissed as infructuous*. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, in the Writ Petition, shall also stand closed.

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

Date: 12.03.2024

KAS